

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 31 • NUMBER 108

Saturday, June 4, 1966

• Washington, D.C.

Pages 7955-7992

Agencies in this issue—

Agriculture Department
Army Department
Atomic Energy Commission
Business and Defense Services
Administration
Civil Aeronautics Board
Civil Service Commission
Commodity Credit Corporation
Consumer and Marketing Service
Customs Bureau
Employment Security Bureau
Federal Aviation Agency
Federal Maritime Commission
Federal Power Commission
Federal Trade Commission
Fish and Wildlife Service
Interstate Commerce Commission
Land Management Bureau
Maritime Administration
National Bureau of Standards
Securities and Exchange Commission
Small Business Administration
Wage and Hour Division

Detailed list of Contents appears inside.



Just Released

CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

(As of January 1, 1966)

Title 32—National Defense (Parts 1000–1099)

(Revised)

\$1.50

Title 37—Patents, Trade-Marks, and Copyrights

(Pocket Supplement)

\$0.45

Title 38—Pensions, Bonuses, and Veterans' Relief

(Revised)

\$2.00

Title 39—Postal Service (Revised)

\$2.00

[A cumulative checklist of CFR issuances for 1966 appears in the first issue of the Federal Register each month under Title 1]

Order from Superintendent of Documents,
United States Government Printing Office,
Washington, D.C. 20402



Area Code 202

Phone 963-3261

Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies varies in proportion to the size of the issue (15 cents for the first 80 pages and 5 cents for each additional group of 40 pages, as actually bound). Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

Contents

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See also Commodity Credit Corporation; Consumer and Marketing Service.

Notices

Texas; extension of designation of area for emergency loans..... 7978

ARMY DEPARTMENT

Rules and Regulations

Relief assistance; disaster relief... 7966

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Southern Rhodesia; amendment of general licenses respecting exports..... 7959

BUSINESS AND DEFENSE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Bona fide motor-vehicle manufacturers; notice of determination..... 7978

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Notices

Hearings, etc.:

Cordova Airlines, Inc..... 7982

Latin American service mail rate proceeding..... 7982

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Excepted service:

Emergency Planning Office..... 7959

Health, Education, and Welfare Department..... 7959

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

See Business and Defense Services Administration; Maritime Administration; National Bureau of Standards.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION

Rules and Regulations

Barley loan and purchase program; 1966 and subsequent crops..... 7964

CONSUMER AND MARKETING SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Fresh pears, plums, and peaches grown in California; regulation by sizes..... 7963

Handling limitations:

Lemons grown in California and Arizona..... 7962

Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California..... 7961

Limes grown in Florida; quality and size regulation..... 7962

Milk in Paducah, Ky., marketing area..... 7963

Proposed Rule Making

Milk in certain marketing areas; decisions:

Milwaukee, Wis..... 7972

Rock River Valley..... 7971

Oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida; handling..... 7971

CUSTOMS BUREAU

Notices

Bunge Corp.; notice of U.S. citizenship..... 7978

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

See Army Department.

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY BUREAU

Rules and Regulations

Certification and use of foreign labor for agricultural and logging industry employment..... 7966

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

Proposed Rule Making

Control zone and transition area; proposed alteration..... 7675

Proposed designations:

Control zone..... 7977

Temporary restricted area..... 7977

Transition area..... 7976

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notices

Lake Shipping Co., et al.; agreements filed for approval..... 7984

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Notices

Hearings, etc.:

An-Son Corp., et al..... 7984

El Paso Natural Gas Co..... 7986

Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (2 documents)..... 7986, 7987

Northwestern Public Service Co..... 7987

United Fuel Gas Co..... 7987

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Rules and Regulations

Prohibited trade practices:

Nor-Cal Distributors, Inc., et al..... 7960

Tri-State Press Service, Inc..... 7961

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Rules and Regulations

Hart Mountain National Antelope Refuge, Oregon; sport fishing... 7970

Notices

Conn, Howard H.; notice of loan application..... 7978

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Notices

Fourth section applications for relief..... 7989

Motor carrier:

Temporary authority applications..... 7989

Transfer proceedings..... 7990

LABOR DEPARTMENT

See Employment Security Bureau; Wage and Hour Division.

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Rules and Regulations

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:

Arizona..... 7969

New Mexico..... 7969

Wyoming..... 7969

MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

Rules and Regulations

War risk insurance; miscellaneous amendments..... 7970

Notices

List of free world and Polish flag vessels arriving in Cuba since Jan. 1, 1963..... 7979

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

Rules and Regulations

Standard reference materials; standards of certified chemical composition..... 7968

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Notices

Continental Vending Machine Corp.; order suspending trading..... 7988

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Sioux Falls Regional Office; delegation of authority to conduct program activities..... 7988

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

See Customs Bureau.

WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION

Notices

Certificates authorizing employment of learners at special minimum rates..... 7988

7957

List of CFR Parts Affected

(Codification Guide)

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1966, and specifies how they are affected.

5 CFR

213 (2 documents) 7959

7 CFR

908 7961

910 7962

911 7962

917 7963

1099 7963

1421 7964

PROPOSED RULES:

905 7971

1038 7971

1039 7972

10 CFR

36 7959

40 7959

14 CFR**PROPOSED RULES:**

71 (3 documents) 7975-7977

73 7977

15 CFR

230 7968

16 CFR

13 (2 documents) 7960, 7961

20 CFR

602 7966

32 CFR

502 7966

43 CFR**PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:**

4023 7969

4024 7969

4025 7969

46 CFR

308 7970

50 CFR

33 7970

Rules and Regulations

Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Section 213.3216 is amended to show that certain professional positions, GS-9 through GS-15, involving responsibilities under Titles IV and VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 are excepted under Schedule B until December 31, 1966, and that the Schedule B authority for thirty related positions in the Office of Education is revoked. Effective on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, § 213.3216 is amended by revoking subparagraph (2) of paragraph (a) and adding a new paragraph (b) as set out below.

§ 213.3216 Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

(a) Office of Education. * * *

(2) [Revoked]

(b) General. (1) To the extent set by the Commission, positions at GS-9 through GS-15 involving program responsibilities under Titles IV and VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. An appointment may not be made under this subparagraph after December 31, 1966.

(R.S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 631, 633; E.O. 10577, 19 F.R. 7521, 3 CFR, 1954-1958 Comp., p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,
Executive Assistant to
the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6173; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Office of Emergency Planning

Section 213.3326 is amended to show that the positions of Technical Assistant to the Director of Telecommunications Management (Space Communications) and Director, Telecommunications Office, are no longer excepted under Schedule C. Effective on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, § 213.3326 is amended by revoking the following: Subparagraph (2) of paragraph (c) and paragraph (m) in its entirety.

(R.S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 631, 633; E.O. 10577, 19 F.R. 7521, 3 CFR, 1954-1958 Comp., p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,
Executive Assistant to
the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6172; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 10—ATOMIC ENERGY

Chapter I—Atomic Energy Commission

PART 36—EXPORT AND IMPORT OF BYPRODUCT MATERIAL

PART 40—LICENSING OF SOURCE MATERIAL

General Licenses Respecting Exports to Southern Rhodesia

These amendments to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 36 and 40, are designed to bring the Commission's regulations for the export of byproduct and source materials into consonance with the export regulations of the U.S. Department of Commerce with respect to exports to Southern Rhodesia.

The Department of Commerce amended its export regulations (15 CFR 384.8) effective March 18, 1966, to revoke its general license for exports to Southern Rhodesia except for specified commodities deemed to be necessary for essential humanitarian and educational purposes (31 F.R. 4783) and to make other changes in its export regulations with respect to licenses for export to Southern Rhodesia. The action was taken pursuant to foreign policy objectives and international responsibilities of the United States, and in support of the United Nations Security Council Resolution of November 30, 1965.

The Commission's regulation, 10 CFR Part 36, Export and Import of Byproduct Material, provides general licenses for any licensee to export to any foreign country, with certain exceptions, (1) byproduct material covered by his license having an atomic number from 3 to 83, and tritium in luminous aircraft safety devices (§ 36.21), (2) certain quantities and compounds of tritium and polonium 210 (§ 36.22) and (3) americium 241 (§ 36.23). Title 10 CFR Part 40, Licensing of Source Material, provides a general license for the export of up to three pounds of source material at any one time, incandescent gas mantles containing thorium, and uranium in counterweights for aircrafts, etc., to any foreign country, with certain exceptions (§ 40.23).

Under the amendments to Part 36, export to Southern Rhodesia of byproduct material having an atomic number from 3 to 83, inclusive, and of certain quantities and compounds of tritium and polonium 210, contained in medicinals or pharmaceutical preparations or in devices, applicators or appliances designed for use in medical diagnosis or therapy only, will continue to be authorized under general license. Applications will have to be filed with the Commission for a specific license to export to Southern Rhodesia any other byproduct ma-

terial, except that exempted by 10 CFR 30.15. The amendments to Part 40 revoke the general licenses in § 40.23 with respect to export to Southern Rhodesia. Hereafter, applications will have to be filed with the Commission for a specific license to export source material to Southern Rhodesia, other than that exempted by § 40.13(a).

Exports to Southern Rhodesia no longer covered by the general licenses in §§ 36.21, 36.22, and 36.23 of 10 CFR Part 36 and § 40.23 of 10 CFR Part 40 as a result of changes set forth in paragraphs 1 through 7, below, and which were on dock for lading, on lighter, laden aboard an export carrier, or in transit to a port of exit prior to 12:01 a.m., June 3, 1966, may be exported under the previous general licenses (§§ 36.21, 36.22, 36.23, and 40.23) up to and including June 18, 1966. Any such shipment not laden aboard the exporting carrier on or before June 18, 1966, requires a specific license for export.

Inasmuch as these amendments involve the foreign affairs functions of the United States, the Commission has found that general notice of proposed rule making and public procedure thereon are contrary to the public interest; and that good cause exists why these amendments should be made effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER without the customary 30-day notice.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Administrative Procedure Act of 1946, the following amendments of Title 10, Chapter 1, Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 36 and 40, are published as documents subject to codification and are effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

1. Section 36.21 of 10 CFR Part 36 is amended by designating the existing paragraph as (a) and adding "Southern Rhodesia or" between the words "to" and "any" in redesignated paragraph (a) and by adding a new paragraph (b) to read as follows:

(b) A general license designated AEC-GL-MED is hereby issued authorizing any licensee of the Commission or of an agreement State to export from the U.S. byproduct material covered by his license having an atomic number from 3 to 83, inclusive, to Southern Rhodesia to the extent that the byproduct material is contained in medicinals or pharmaceutical preparations or in devices, applicators or appliances designed for use in medical diagnosis or therapy.

2. Paragraph (a) of § 36.22 of 10 CFR Part 36 is amended by adding "Southern Rhodesia," between the words "except" and "Poland".

3. Paragraph (b) of § 36.22 of 10 CFR Part 36 is redesignated paragraph (c).

4. A new paragraph (b) is added to § 36.22 of 10 CFR Part 36 to read as follows:

(b) A general license designated AEC-GL-TPM is hereby issued authorizing any licensee of the Commission or of an agreement State to export from the U.S. byproduct material of the kinds or forms specified in paragraph (a) of this section to Southern Rhodesia to the extent that the byproduct material is contained in medicinals or pharmaceutical preparations or in devices, applicators or appliances designed for use in medical diagnosis or therapy.

5. Redesignated paragraph (c) of § 36.22 of 10 CFR Part 36 is amended by deleting "license established by paragraph (a)" and substituting therefor "licenses established by paragraphs (a) and (b)".

6. Section 36.23 of 10 CFR Part 36 is amended by inserting "Southern Rhodesia," between the words "except" and "Poland".

7. Section 40.23 of 10 CFR Part 40 is amended by inserting "Southern Rhodesia," between the words "except" and "Cuba" in paragraphs (a) and (b) and "Southern Rhodesia or" between the words "except" and "countries" in paragraph (c).

(Sec. 82, 68 Stat. 935; 42 U.S.C. 2112, sec. 161, 68 Stat. 948; 42 U.S.C. 2201)

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 26th day of May 1966.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

W. B. McCool,
Secretary.

[FR. Doc. 66-6156; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission

[Docket No. C-1062]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Nor-Cal Distributors, Inc., et al.

Subpart—Discriminating in price under section 2, Clayton Act—Knowingly inducing or receiving discriminating price under 2(f): § 13.855 *Inducing and receiving discriminations.*

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 2, 49 Stat. 1526; 15 U.S.C. 13) [Cease and desist order, Nor-Cal Distributors, Inc., et al., San Francisco, Calif., Docket C-1062, Apr. 29, 1966]

In the Matter of Nor-Cal Distributors, Inc., a Corporation; Allied Automotive, Inc., a Corporation; Auto Parts Sales Co., a Corporation; the Automotive Supply Co., Inc., a Corporation; Belmont Auto Parts, Inc., a Corporation; Benson & Zimmerman Co., a Corporation; Berkeley Automotive Center, a Corporation; C. & H. Auto Parts, a Corporation; Cresta Bros. Auto Parts,

a Corporation; Federal Parts & Products Co., Inc., a Corporation; Henderson Bros. Stores, Inc., a Corporation; Hust Bros., Inc., a Corporation; E. C. Kraft & Co., a Corporation; Lacey Automotive Parts Co., a Corporation; Lakeside Automotive, Inc., a Corporation; Lemoore Auto Supply, a Corporation; Littrell Parts, a Corporation; Maxwell Motor Supply Co., Inc., a Corporation; Mill Valley Auto Parts, Inc., a Corporation; Motor Parts Co., a Corporation; Chico Automotive Supply, a Corporation Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Motor Supply Co.; Moses & Moses Motor Parts, a Corporation Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of M & M Motor Parts; National Auto Parts Co., Inc., a Corporation; Tri-City Auto Supply, a Corporation; Sequoia Auto Parts, a Corporation; Westside Auto Parts, Inc., a Corporation; Herman Krebs and Earl Lounsbury, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Automotive Parts Co.; Floyd E. Benson, Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Benson & Ridenhour, a Sole Proprietorship; William T. Wood, Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Automotive Parts & Machine Shop Co., a Sole Proprietorship; A. Frank Lewis and Albert H. Davis, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Contra Costa Auto Parts; Julius G. Rossi and Leonard L. Rundle, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Farnsworth & Callahan; Jack W. Parker, Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Globe Auto Supply, a Sole Proprietorship; Robert B. Huston, George E. Huston and Kenneth A. Greer, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Hollister Auto Parts; Raymond Allen, Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Irving Auto Supply, a Sole Proprietorship; Herbert J. Kramer and Guldbrand J. Kramer, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Kramer Auto Supply Co.; William J. Cresta, Jr., Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of McCulloch Auto Supply, a Sole Proprietorship; Barrett T. Hicks and Eleanor B. Hicks, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Merced Motor Parts; Carl Pate and William Lehnhoff, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Montgomery Auto Parts; Eugene F. Asher and Harry W. Scott, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Motor Supply Company; L. R. Horler, J. A. Bennett, L. C. Avedano and V. Borba, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of National Parts Company; Louis P. Lazzaretto and Elmer L. Anderson, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of United Auto-Sweet Service Co.; F. M. Chandler, Earl Neilsen, Robert P. Chandler and Burton E. Hazelton, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of

Sweet Service Co. of Santa Cruz; Jack A. Fisher and James V. Fisher, Copartners Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Tracy Auto Parts; James M. White, Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Whitties Auto Parts, a Sole Proprietorship; Douglas Davis, Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Davis' Auto Parts, a Sole Proprietorship; John Nunes, Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of Novato Auto Parts, a Sole Proprietorship; and C. W. Lerer, Doing Business Under the Firm Name and Style of M. Lerer & Sons, a Sole Proprietorship.

Consent order requiring 46 jobbers of automotive products and supplies and their buying organization, Nor-Cal Distributors, Inc., of San Francisco, Calif., to cease knowingly inducing and receiving discriminatory prices from their suppliers in violation of section 2(f) of the Clayton Act.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Nor-Cal Distributors, Inc., a corporation; Allied Automotive, Inc., a corporation; Auto Parts Sales Co., a corporation; The Automotive Supply Co., Inc., a corporation; Belmont Auto Parts, Inc., a corporation; Benson & Zimmerman Co., a corporation; Berkeley Automotive Center, a corporation; C. & H. Auto Parts, a corporation; Cresta Bros. Auto Parts, a corporation; Federal Parts & Products Co., Inc., a corporation; Henderson Bros. Stores, Inc., a corporation; Hust Bros., Inc., a corporation; E. C. Kraft & Co., a corporation; Lacey Automotive Parts Co., a corporation; Lakeside Automotive, Inc., a corporation; Lemoore Auto Supply, a corporation; Littrell Parts, a corporation; Maxwell Motor Supply Co., Inc., a corporation; Mill Valley Auto Parts, Inc., a corporation; Motor Parts Co., a corporation; Chico Automotive Supply, a corporation, doing business under the firm name and style of Motor Supply Co.; Moses & Moses Motor Parts, a corporation, doing business under the firm name and style of M & M Motor Parts; National Auto Parts Co., Inc., a corporation; Tri-City Auto Supply, a corporation; Sequoia Auto Parts, a corporation; Herman Krebs and Earl Lounsbury, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Automotive Parts Co.; Westside Auto Parts, Inc., a corporation; Floyd E. Benson, doing business under the firm name and style of Benson & Ridenhour, a sole proprietorship; William T. Wood, doing business under the firm name and style of Automotive Parts & Machine Shop Co., a sole proprietorship; A. Frank Lewis and Albert H. Davis, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Contra Costa Auto Parts; Julius G. Rossi and Leonard L. Rundle, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Farnsworth & Callahan; Jack W. Parker, doing business under the firm name and style of Globe Auto Supply, a sole proprietorship; Robert B. Huston, George E. Huston and Kenneth A. Greer,

copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Hollister Auto Parts; Raymond Allen, doing business under the firm name and style of Irving Auto Supply, a sole proprietorship; Herbert J. Kramer and Guldbrand J. Kramer, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Kramer Auto Supply Co.; William J. Cresta, Jr., doing business under the firm name and style of McCulloch Auto Supply, a sole proprietorship; Barrett T. Hicks and Eleanor B. Hicks, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Merced Motor Parts; Carl Pate and William Lehnhoff, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Montgomery Auto Parts; Eugene F. Asher and Harry W. Scott, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Motor Supply Co.; L. R. Horler, J. A. Bennett, L. C. Avedano and V. Borba, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of National Parts Co.; Louis P. Lazzaretto and Elmer L. Anderson, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of United Auto-Sweet Service Co.; F. M. Chandler, Earl Neilsen, Robert P. Chandler and Burton E. Hazelton, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Sweet Service Co. of Santa Cruz; Jack A. Fisher and James V. Fisher, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Tracy Auto Parts; James M. White, doing business under the firm name and style of Whites Auto Parts, a sole proprietorship; Douglas Davis, doing business under the firm name and style of Davis' Auto Parts, a sole proprietorship; John Nunes, doing business under the firm name and style of Novato Auto Parts, a sole proprietorship; and C. W. Lerer, doing business under the firm name and style of M. Lerer & Sons, a sole proprietorship; and respondents' agents, representatives, employees, officers and members, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering to purchase or purchase of any automotive products or supplies in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Clayton Act, as amended, do forthwith cease and desist from:

Knowingly inducing or knowingly receiving or accepting any discrimination in the price of such products and supplies, by directly or indirectly inducing, receiving, or accepting from any seller a net price known by respondents to be below the net price at which said products and supplies of like grade and quality are being sold by such seller to other customers, where the seller is competing with any other seller for respondents' business, or where respondents are competing with other customers of the seller.

For the purpose of determining "net price" under the terms of this order, there shall be taken into account discounts, rebates, allowances, deductions, or other terms and conditions of sale by which net prices are effected.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the

manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: April 29, 1966.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6139; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. C-1063]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Tri-State Press Service, Inc., and
Joe L. Regan

Subpart—Coercing and intimidating; § 13.350 Customers or prospective customers. Subpart—Misrepresenting oneself and goods—Business status, advantages or connections: § 13.1395 Connections and arrangements with others.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Tri-State Press Service, Inc., et al., Docket C-1063, Apr. 29, 1966]

Consent order requiring an Evansville, Ind., publisher to cease using misrepresentations and threatening tactics to sell advertising space in its weekly newspaper "Tri-State Labor News" or any other publication.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

I. It is ordered, That respondents Tri-State Press Service, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and Joe L. Regan, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the soliciting, offering for sale, or sale in commerce of advertising space in the weekly newspaper now designated as Tri-State Labor News, or any other publication, whether published under that name or any other name, and in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of said newspaper, or any other publication, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

A. Representing, directly or by implication, that said publication is endorsed or sponsored by, affiliated with, or is an official publication of or connected in any manner with a labor union: *Provided, however*, That it shall be a defense in any enforcement proceeding instituted hereunder for respondents to establish that any claimed or alleged sponsorship, endorsement, affiliation, or status as an official publication has been in fact received and officially authorized.

B. Inducing or seeking to induce a business concern to purchase advertising space in or contribute to respondents' publication by means of expressed or implied threats that such business concern will or may be subjected to unfavorable treatment at the hands of labor union members should it refuse to make such purchase or contribution.

II. It is further ordered, That respondents set forth in a clear and conspicuous manner, immediately after the name of each such publication appearing at the top of the masthead of such publication, the following statement: "Not affiliated with any labor union or other labor organization."

III. It is further ordered, That respondents shall deliver a copy of this order to every salesman or representative now, or at any time hereafter, selling or soliciting the sale of advertisements in any such publication.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: April 29, 1966.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6140; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:46 a.m.]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[Valencia Orange Reg. 164]

PART 908—VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

§ 908.464 Valencia Orange Regulation 164.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 908, as amended (7 CFR Part 908), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Valencia oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to

effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Valencia oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Valencia oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on June 2, 1966.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., June 5, 1966, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., June 12, 1966, are hereby fixed as follows:

- (i) District 1: 450,000 cartons;
- (ii) District 2: 300,000 cartons;
- (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "handler," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: June 3, 1966.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6272; Filed, June 3, 1966; 11:20 a.m.]

[Lemon Reg. 217]

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

§ 910.517 Lemon Regulation 217.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted

by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on June 1, 1966.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., June 5, 1966, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., June 12, 1966, are hereby fixed as follows:

- (i) District 1: Unlimited movement;
 - (ii) District 2: 325,500 cartons;
 - (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.
- (2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: June 2, 1966.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6209; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Lime Reg. 22, Amdt. 1]

PART 911—LIMES GROWN IN FLORIDA

Quality and Size Regulation

Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 911, as amended (7 CFR Part 911), regulating the handling of limes grown in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674) and upon the basis of the recommendations of the Florida Lime Administrative Committee, established under the aforesaid marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of limes, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) in that the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient; a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective not later than June 6, 1966. Shipments of Florida limes are currently regulated pursuant to Lime Regulation 22 (31 F.R. 6102) and, unless sooner terminated, will continue to be so regulated until May 1, 1967, determinations as to the need for, and extent of, continued regulation of Florida lime shipments must await the development of the crop and the availability of information on the demand for such fruit; the recommendations and supporting information for regulation of lime shipments subsequent to June 6, 1966, and in the manner herein provided, were promptly submitted to the Department after an unannounced meeting of the Florida Lime Administrative Committee on May 31, 1966, held to consider recommendations for regulation; the provisions of this amendment are identical with the aforesaid recommendations of the committee, and information concerning such provisions has been disseminated among handlers of Florida limes; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this amendment effective as hereinafter set forth; and compliance with this amendment will not require any special preparation on the part of the persons subject thereto which cannot be completed by the effective time hereof.

It is, therefore, ordered that the provisions of paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of § 911.324 (Lime Regulation 22) are hereby amended to read as follows during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m.,

e.s.t., June 6, 1966, and ending at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., May 1, 1967:

(i) Any limes of the group known as large fruited or Persian limes (including Tahiti, Bearss, and similar varieties) which do not grade at least U.S. Combination, Mixed Color, with not less than 75 percent, by count, of the limes in each container thereof grading at least U.S. No. 1, Mixed Color, and the remainder thereof grading not less than U.S. No. 2, Mixed Color; or

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: June 2, 1966.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6210; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

[Plum Reg. 5, Amdt. 1]

PART 917—FRESH PEARS, PLUMS, AND PEACHES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

Regulation by Sizes

Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 917, as amended (7 CFR Part 917; 30 F.R. 15990), regulating the handling of fresh pears, plums, and peaches grown in the State of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations of the Plum Commodity Committee, established under the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments of plums, in the manner herein provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) in that the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient; and this amendment relieves restrictions on the handling of Santa Rosa plums grown in California.

Order. It is, therefore, ordered that the provisions of paragraph (b) (2) of § 917.376 (Plum Regulation 5; 31 F.R. 7348) are hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 917.376 Plum Regulation 5 (Santa Rosa).

(b) **Order.**

(2) During each day of the aforesaid period, any handler may ship from any shipping point a quantity of such plums, by number of packages or containers, which are of a size smaller than the size prescribed in subparagraph (1) of this

paragraph if said quantity does not exceed one hundred (100) percent of the number of the same type of packages or containers of such plums shipped by such handler which meet the size requirements of said subparagraph (1) of this paragraph: *Provided*, That all such smaller plums are of a size that, when packed in a standard basket, they will pack at least a 4 x 5 standard pack.

The provisions of this amendment shall become effective June 2, 1966.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: June 1, 1966.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6169; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Chapter X—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Milk), Department of Agriculture

[Milk Order 99]

PART 1099—MILK IN PADUCAH, KY., MARKETING AREA

Order Amending Order

§ 1099.0 Findings and determinations.

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of the said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) **Findings upon the basis of the hearing records.** Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), public hearings were held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Paducah, Ky., marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearings and the records thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended, are such prices as will

reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest;

(3) The said order as hereby amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held; and

(4) Since the suspension order of May 11, 1966 (31 F.R. 7110; F.R. Doc. 66-5280), was issued as a temporary action to provide immediate remedial action as to Class I prices until the long-term modification of the Class I price formula, herein provided, could be made effective, such suspension order is hereby terminated.

(b) **Additional findings.** It is necessary in the public interest to make this order amending the order effective not later than June 1, 1966. Any delay beyond that date would tend to disrupt the orderly marketing of milk in the marketing area.

The provisions of the said order are known to handlers. The recommended decision of the Deputy Administrator, Regulatory Programs, was issued May 11, 1966, and the final decision containing all amendment provisions of this order has been issued. The changes effected by this order will not require extensive preparation or substantial alteration in method of operation for handlers. In view of the foregoing, it is hereby found and determined that good cause exists for making this order amending the order effective June 1, 1966, and that it would be contrary to the public interest to delay the effective date of this amendment for 30 days after its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. (Secs. 4(c), Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 1001-1011)

(c) **Determinations.** It is hereby determined that:

(1) The refusal or failure of handlers (excluding cooperative associations specified in section 8c(9) of the Act) of more than 50 percent of the milk, which is marketed within the marketing area, to sign a proposed marketing agreement, tends to prevent the effectuation of the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The issuance of this order, amending the order, is the only practical means pursuant to the declared policy of the Act of advancing the interests of producers as defined in the order as hereby amended; and

(3) The issuance of the order amending the order is approved or favored by at least two-thirds of the producers who during the determined representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale in the marketing area.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered:

1. That the suspension order (31 F.R. 7110; F.R. Doc. 66-5280) which suspended certain of the Class I price provisions for the period of May 11 through June 30, 1966, is hereby terminated on the effective date of this order.

2. That on and after the effective date hereof, the handling of milk in the Pa-

duch, Ky., marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended, and as hereby further amended as follows:

In § 1099.51, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1099.51 Class prices.

(a) *Class I milk price.* The price per hundredweight of Class I milk for the month shall be the Class I price pursuant to Part 1062 of this chapter (St. Louis, Mo.) plus 15 cents: *Provided*, That the price for the month for Class I milk at pool plants located within that portion of the marketing area in the State of Missouri shall be the Class I price pursuant to Part 1062 of this chapter (St. Louis, Mo.) plus 25 cents; and

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Effective date. June 1, 1966.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on May 31, 1966.

GEORGE L. MEHREN,
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6149; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

Chapter XIV—Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[C.C.C. Grain Price Support Regs., 1966 and Subsequent Crops, Barley Supp.]

PART 1421—GRAINS AND SIMILARLY HANDLED COMMODITIES

Subpart—1966 and Subsequent Crops, Barley Loan and Purchase Program

The General Regulations Governing Price Support for the 1964 and Subsequent Crops (Revision 1) (31 F.R. 5941) issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation which contain regulations of a general nature with respect to price support loan and purchase operations are supplemented for the 1966 and subsequent crops of barley as follows:

Sec.

- 1421.2261 Purpose.
- 1421.2262 Availability.
- 1421.2263 Compliance requirements.
- 1421.2264 Eligible barley.
- 1421.2265 Determination of quality.
- 1421.2266 Determination of quantity.
- 1421.2267 Warehouse receipts.
- 1421.2268 Fees and charges.
- 1421.2269 Warehouse charges.
- 1421.2270 Maturity of loans.
- 1421.2271 Support rates.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this subpart issued under sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070 as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072, secs. 105, 401, 63 Stat. 1051 as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714c, 7 U.S.C. 1421, 1441.

§ 1421.2261 Purpose.

This supplement contains program provisions, which together with the annual crop year supplement and provisions of the General Regulations Governing Price Support for the 1964 and

Subsequent Crops, and any amendments thereto, or revisions thereof (such regulations are referred to herein as "General Regulations") apply to loans and purchases for the 1966 and subsequent crops of barley.

§ 1421.2262 Availability.

Producers desiring price support must request a loan or notify the ASCS county office of intentions to sell to CCC no later than the dates set forth in the annual crop year supplement to the regulations in this subpart.

§ 1421.2263 Compliance requirements.

A producer to be eligible for a loan or purchase must be eligible under the Feed Grain Program Regulations to the extent specified in the annual crop year supplement to the regulations in this subpart.

§ 1421.2264 Eligible barley.

(a) *General.* To be eligible for a loan or purchase, the barley must be merchantable for food or feed or for other uses, as determined by CCC, and must not contain mercurial compounds or other substances poisonous to man or animals.

(b) *Warehouse stored loan grade requirements.* Barley to be placed under a warehouse storage loan must also meet the following requirements:

(1) The barley must grade No. 5 or better, except that (i) the barley may grade "Sample" on the factor of total damage (except heat damage), (ii) Western Barley shall have a test weight of not less than 36 pounds per bushel, and (iii) the barley may have the following special grade designations: "Garlicky" and in the State of Alaska only, "Tough."

(2) The barley must not grade Blighted, Bleached, Ergoty, Smutty or, if Western Barley, Stained;

(3) The barley must not grade "Weevily" unless the warehouse receipt is accompanied by a supplemental certificate which indicates the warehouseman will deliver barley (i) which does not grade "Weevily" and (ii) which is otherwise of an eligible grade and quality. The grade, grading factors, and the quantity shown on the supplemental certificate must be as specified in § 1421.2267(c);

(4) The barley must not contain over 14.5 (13.5 if Western Barley) percent moisture unless the warehouse receipt is accompanied by a supplemental certificate which indicates the warehouseman will deliver barley which does not contain over 14.5 (13.5 if Western Barley) percent moisture and which is otherwise of an eligible quality. The grade, grading factors and the quantity shown on the supplemental certificate must be as specified in § 1421.2267(c). This subparagraph shall not apply to barley produced in the State of Alaska.

§ 1421.2265 Determination of quality.

The class, grade, grading factors and all other quality factors shall be based on the Official Grain Standards of the United States for Barley, whether or not

such determinations are made on the basis of an official inspection.

§ 1421.2266 Determination of quantity.

When the quantity is determined by weight, a bushel shall be 48 pounds of barley free of dockage.

(a) *In warehouse.* The quantity of barley on which a warehouse storage loan shall be made and the quantity delivered to or acquired by CCC in an approved warehouse shall be the net weight specified on the warehouse receipt or on the supplemental certificate, if applicable. If the barley has been dried or blended to reduce the moisture content, the quantity specified on the warehouse receipt or the supplemental certificate, if applicable, shall represent the quantity after drying or blending, and such quantity shall reflect a minimum shrink in the receiving weight of 1.2 times the percentage difference between the moisture content of the barley, when received, and 14.5 (13.5 if Western Barley) percent.

(b) *On farm.* The quantity of eligible barley which may be placed under farm storage loan shall be determined in accordance with § 1421.67 of the General Regulations. The quantity acquired by CCC from farm storage under a loan or purchase shall be determined by weight.

(c) *Dockage.* When the quantity is determined by weight, the percentage of dockage shall be determined and the weight of such dockage shall be deducted from the gross weight in determining the net quantity.

§ 1421.2267 Warehouse receipts.

Warehouse receipts tendered to CCC in connection with a loan or purchase must meet the requirements of this section.

(a) *Separate receipt.* A separate warehouse receipt must be submitted for each grade and class of barley.

(b) *Entries.* Each warehouse receipt, or the warehouseman's supplemental certificate (in duplicate) properly identified with the warehouse receipt, must show: (1) Gross weight and net bushels, (2) class, (3) grade (including special grades), (4) test weight, (5) moisture if above 14.5 (13.5 if Western Barley) percent, (6) dockage, (7) any other grading factor(s) when such factor(s) and not test weight determine the grade, (8) whether the barley arrived by rail, truck, or barge, (9) the date the barley was received or deposited in the warehouse.

(c) *Where warehouse receipt shows "Weevily" and/or excess moisture.* If a warehouse receipt tendered as security for a loan indicates the barley grades "Weevily" or contains over 14.5 (13.5 if Western Barley) percent moisture, the warehouse receipt must be accompanied by a supplemental certificate as provided in § 1421.2264(b). The grade, grading factors, and the quantity to be delivered must be shown on the supplemental certificate as follows: (1) When the warehouse receipt shows "Weevily" and the barley has been conditioned to correct the "Weevily" condition, the supplement-

tal certificate must show the same grade without the "Weevily" designation and the same grading factors and quantity as shown on the warehouse receipt; (2) when the warehouse receipt indicates a moisture content of over 14.5 (13.5 if Western Barley) percent and the barley has been dried or blended, the supplemental certificate must show the grade, grading factors, and quantity after drying or blending the barley to a moisture content of not over 14.5 (13.5 if Western Barley) percent which shall reflect a drying or blending shrink as specified in § 1421.2266(a). The supplemental certificate must state that no lien for processing will be claimed by the warehouseman from Commodity Credit Corporation or any subsequent holder of the warehouse receipt. In the case of conditions specified in subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph, the grade, grading factors, and the quantity shown on the supplemental certificate shall supersede the entries for such items on the warehouse receipt.

(d) *Liens.* The warehouse receipts may be subject to liens for warehouse charges only to the extent indicated in § 1421.2269.

(e) *Freight bill requirements.* Warehouse receipts representing barley which has been shipped by rail or water from a country shipping point to a designated terminal point, or shipped by rail or water from a country shipping point to a storage point and stored in transit to a designated terminal point, must be accompanied by registered freight bills or by a certificate containing similar information. These registered freight bills or certificates must be representative as to origin and date of movement of the barley and must reflect the total freight from origin to the designated terminal point, including penalty for out-of-line haul, if any. The form of these certificates shall be prescribed by the ASCS commodity office and shall be signed by the warehouseman and may be made a part of the supplemental certificate.

§ 1421.2268 Fees and charges.

The producer shall pay a loan service fee and delivery charge as specified in § 1421.60(b) of the General Regulations.

§ 1421.2269 Warehouse charges.

(a) *Handling and storage liens.* Warehouse receipts and the barley represented thereby stored in an approved warehouse operating under the Uniform Grain Storage Agreement may be subject to liens for warehouse handling and storage charges at not to exceed the Uniform Grain Storage Agreement rates from the date the barley is deposited in the warehouse for storage. Warehouse receipts and the barley represented thereby stored in an approved warehouse operated by an Eastern common carrier may be subject to liens for warehouse elevation (receiving and delivering) and storage charges from the date of deposit at rates approved by the Interstate Commerce Commission. In no event shall a warehouseman be entitled to satisfy the lien by sale of the barley when CCC is holder of the warehouse receipt.

(b) *Deduction of storage charges—UGSA warehouses.* The table set forth in the annual crop year supplement will provide the deduction for storage charges to be made from the amount of the loan or purchase price in the case of barley stored in an approved warehouse operated under the Uniform Grain Storage Agreement. Such deduction shall be based on entries shown on the warehouse receipts. If written evidence is submitted with the warehouse receipt that all warehouse charges except receiving and loading out charges have been prepaid through the applicable loan maturity date, no storage deductions shall be made. If such written evidence is not submitted, the date to be used for computing the storage deduction on barley stored in approved warehouses operating under the Uniform Grain Storage Agreement shall be the latest of the following: (1) The date the barley was received or deposited in the warehouse, (2) the date storage charges start, or (3) the day following the date through which the storage charges have been paid.

(c) *Deduction of storage charges—Eastern common carriers.* In the case of barley stored in an approved warehouse operated by an Eastern common carrier, there shall be deducted in computing the loan or purchase price the amount of the approved tariff rate for storage (not including elevation), which will accumulate from the date of deposit through the applicable maturity date unless written evidence is submitted with the warehouse receipt that such charges have been prepaid. The State office shall advise county offices of the applicable charges. Where the producer presents evidence showing the elevation charges have been prepaid, the amount of the storage charges to be deducted shall be reduced by the amount of the elevation charges prepaid by the producer.

§ 1421.2270 Maturity of loans.

Loans mature on demand but not later than the date specified in the annual crop year supplement to the regulations contained in this subpart.

§ 1421.2271 Support rates.

Basic terminal and county support rates for barley and the schedule of discounts shall be set forth in the annual crop year supplement to the regulations contained in this subpart. Farm stored loans will be made at the applicable basic support rate adjusted only for the weed control discount where applicable. The support rate for warehouse storage loans, and for barley acquired from under loan or by purchase shall be the applicable basic support rate adjusted in accordance with the provisions of this section, and the discounts shown in the annual crop year supplement to the regulations in this subpart. Settlement of loans and purchases shall be made in accordance with the provisions of § 1421.72 of the General Regulations.

(a) *Support rates for barley in approved warehouse storage at designated terminal markets.* (1) The basic support rates established for designated ter-

minal markets apply to barley shipped on a domestic interstate freight rate basis. The basic support rate at the designated terminal market for any barley shipped at other than the domestic interstate freight rate shall be reduced by the amount by which the freight rate paid is less than the domestic interstate freight rate.

(2) The basic support rates established for designated terminal markets also apply to barley which has been shipped by rail or water from a country shipping point to one of the designated terminal markets, as evidenced by paid freight bills duly registered for transit privileges. If the amount of paid-infreight is insufficient to guarantee the minimum proportional domestic interstate freight rate, if any, from the terminal market to a recognized market determined by the appropriate ASCS commodity office, there shall be deducted from the applicable basic support rate the amount by which the amount of freight actually paid in is less than the amount required to be paid in to guarantee out-bound movement at the minimum proportional domestic interstate freight rate. If the barley is stored at any designated terminal market and neither registered freight bills nor registered freight certificates are presented, the basic support rate shall be reduced by the actual amount of paid-in freight required to guarantee the proportional out-bound rate from the terminal market to a recognized market determined by the appropriate ASCS commodity office.

(3) The support rate for barley received by truck and stored at any designated terminal market, shall be determined by deducting from the terminal rate 2.5 cents per bushel plus the actual amount of paid-in freight required to guarantee the proportional out-bound rate from the terminal market to a recognized market determined by the appropriate ASCS commodity office.

(4) Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this paragraph (a), in determining the support rate for barley shipped by rail or water and stored at any of the following terminal markets, there shall also be deducted from the applicable basic support rate the transportation cost, if any may be incurred, as determined by the appropriate ASCS commodity office, for moving the barley to a tidewater facility located within the same switching limits:

Long Beach, Los Angeles, Oakland, San Francisco, Stockton, and Wilmington, Calif.
Baton Rouge and New Orleans, La.
Baltimore, Md.
Duluth, Minn.
Astoria and Portland, Ore.
Albany and New York, N.Y.
Philadelphia, Pa.
Beaumont, Galveston, Houston, and Port Arthur, Tex.
Norfolk, Va.
Kalama, Longview, Seattle, Tacoma, and Vancouver, Wash.
Superior, Wis.

(5) Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this paragraph, in determining the support rate for barley re-

ceived by truck and stored at any of the terminal markets listed in subparagraph (4) of this paragraph, there shall be deducted from the applicable basic support rate an amount of 2.5 cents per bushel, plus the transportation cost, if any, as determined by the appropriate ASCS commodity office, for moving the barley to a tidewater facility located within the same switching limits.

(b) *Support rates for barley in approved warehouse storage at other than designated terminal markets.* In determining the support rate for barley which is shipped by rail or water and which is stored in approved warehouses (other than those situated in the designated terminal markets), there shall be deducted from the support rate for the appropriate designated terminal market, as determined by CCC, an amount equal to the transit balance, if any, of the through-freight rate from the point of origin for such barley to such terminal market: *Provided*, That on any barley shipped at other than the domestic interstate freight rate, the basic support rate shall be further reduced by the amount by which the freight rate paid is less than the amount of the domestic interstate freight rate from the point of origin of such barley to the point of destination or appropriate terminal market: *And provided further*, That in the case of barley stored at any railroad transit point, taking a penalty by reason of out-of-line movement to the appropriate designated market, or for any other reason, there shall be added to such transit balance an amount equal to any out-of-line costs or other costs incurred in storing barley in such position.

(c) *Support rates for barley in approved country warehouse and farm storage.* (1) The applicable basic support rate for farm-storage loans and for barley stored in approved country warehouse-storage, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (b) of this section and subparagraph (2) of this paragraph, shall be the basic county support rate established for the county in which the barley is stored.

(2) If two or more approved warehouses are located in the same or adjoining towns, villages, or cities, having the same domestic interstate freight rate, such towns, villages, or cities shall be deemed to constitute one shipping point and the same basic county support rate shall apply even though such warehouses are not all located in the same county. Such support rate shall be the highest support rate of the counties involved.

Effective date. Upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on May 31, 1966.

H. D. GODFREY,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6170; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 20—EMPLOYEES' BENEFITS

Chapter V—Bureau of Employment Security, Department of Labor

PART 602—COOPERATION OF THE U.S. EMPLOYMENT SERVICE AND STATES IN ESTABLISHING AND MAINTAINING A NATIONAL SYSTEM OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES

Foreign Labor

Pursuant to regulations issued by the Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization (8 CFR 214.2(h); 29 F.R. 11959) implementing provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1184(e)), I hereby revise 20 CFR 602.10 to read as set forth below.

Because these rules constitute general statements of agency procedure and policy, notice of proposed rule making, public participation and delay in effective date are not required by section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003). I do not believe such proceedings or delay would serve a useful purpose here. Accordingly, I hereby revise 20 CFR 602.10, effective immediately, by adding at the end thereof a new paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 602.10 Certification and use of foreign labor for agricultural and logging industry employment.

(e) In any case in which the Regional Office of the Bureau of Employment Security determines after examination of all the pertinent facts that the certification referred to in 8 CFR 214.2(h) (2) (i) cannot appropriately be issued, it shall promptly so notify the employer or association requesting the certification. Such notification shall contain a statement of the reasons on which the refusal to issue a certification is based.

(8 CFR 214.2(h))

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 31st day of May 1966.

W. WILLARD WIRTZ,
Secretary of Labor.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6145; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 32—NATIONAL DEFENSE

Chapter V—Department of the Army

SUBCHAPTER A—AID OF CIVIL AUTHORITIES AND PUBLIC RELATIONS

PART 502—RELIEF ASSISTANCE

Disaster Relief

Sections 502.1 through 502.6 are superseded by the following sections:

Sec.
502.1 Purpose and applicability.
502.2 Definitions.

Sec.
502.3 Provisions of disaster relief legislation and Executive orders and other authorities.
502.4 Department of Defense policies and delegation of authority.
502.5 Department of the Army policies and designation of responsibilities.

AUTHORITY: §§ 502.1 to 502.5 issued under sec. 3012, 70A Stat. 157; 10 U.S.C. 3012.

SOURCE: AR 500-60, 6 May 1966.

§ 502.1 Purpose and applicability.

(a) Sections 502.1-502.5 contain Department of the Army policy and responsibilities for operations involving participation in natural disaster relief activities.

(b) Sections 502.1-502.5 are applicable in the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia, and where not in conflict with public law or other proper authority, have equal application to Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. Within the latter areas, the commander of the unified command concerned is responsible for emergency employment of military resources in disaster relief.

(c) Policy and guidance for related type emergencies involving employment of Army resources are contained in AR 500-50 (Civil Disturbances), AR 500-70 (Civil Defense), and AR 420-90 (Fire Prevention and Protection).

(d) The provisions of §§ 502.1-502.5 apply generally except as otherwise covered in directives of Chief of Engineers pertinent to the Civil Works Program.

§ 502.2 Definitions.

For the purpose of §§ 502.1-502.5 the following definitions apply:

(a) *Natural disaster.* All domestic emergencies except those created as a result of enemy attack or civil disturbance.

(b) *Major disaster.* Any disaster caused by flood, drought, fire, earthquake, storm, hurricane, or other catastrophe, which in the determination of the President, is or threatens to be, of such severity and magnitude as to warrant disaster assistance by the Federal Government under the provisions of Public Law 875 (see § 502.3(a)) to supplement the efforts and available resources of State and local governments in alleviating the damage, hardship or suffering caused thereby.

(c) *Imminent seriousness.* An emergency condition of immediate urgency in which it would be dangerous to delay necessary action by waiting for instructions from higher authority despite the fact such instructions are requested through command channels by the most expeditious means of communication available.

(d) *Military resources.* Includes personnel, equipment, and supplies of Department of Defense agencies including the Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and Defense Supply Agency.

(e) *State.* Includes any State in the United States, the District of Columbia,

Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands.

(f) *Local government.* Includes any county, city, village, town, district, or other political subdivision of any State, or the District of Columbia.

(g) *Federal agency.* Includes any departmental, independent establishment, government corporation, or other agency of the executive branch of the Federal Government, excepting, however, the American National Red Cross.

(h) *Office of Emergency Planning (OEP).* The Federal Executive agency in the Executive Office of the President responsible for coordinating Federal assistance for major disasters in behalf of the President.

(i) *Office of Civil Defense (OCD).* The office under the Secretary of the Army responsible for plans and preparations for civil defense.

(j) *American National Red Cross (ANRC).* The national organization of the Red Cross organized to undertake activities for the relief of persons suffering from disaster as stated in Section 3 of the Act of January 5, 1905, ch 23, as amended (36 U.S.C. 3), entitled "An Act To Incorporate the American National Red Cross."

(k) *DOD components.* Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Defense Supply Agency, and other Department of Defense agencies.

§ 502.3 Provisions of disaster relief legislation and Executive orders and other authorities.

The following guidelines are pertinent to disaster relief action.

(a) Public Law 875, 81st Congress, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1855-1855g (Federal Disaster Act of 30 September 1950), hereinafter referred to as P.L. 875, which provides for supplementary Federal assistance to State and local governments in major disasters, and for other purposes.

(b) Executive Order 10427 dated January 16, 1953, as amended, which delegates to the Director, OEP the authority to direct and coordinate other Federal agencies in rendering assistance to State and local governments under provisions of P.L. 875.

(c) Executive Order 10737, dated October 29, 1957, which amends Executive Order 10427 to include authority for the reimbursement of any Federal agency, subject to the concurrence of the Director of the Bureau of the Budget, for authorized expenditures for funds allocated by the President for use in assistance to a specific State.

(d) Executive Order 11051 dated September 27, 1962, which specifically prescribes the responsibility of the Director, OEP as set forth in Executive Orders 10427 and 10737.

(e) Federal assistance is authorized under provisions of P.L. 875 only after the President has declared the specific disaster as defined in the Act. Such declaration is made after a request for Federal assistance by the Governor of the State (or the Board of Commissioners of the District of Columbia), through

the appropriate OEP Regional Office Director.

(f) Section 5 of the Act of August 18, 1941, ch. 377, as amended, 33 U.S.C. 701n; is commonly known and hereinafter referred to as Public Law 99 (P.L. 99). It provides basic guidance for the applicable emergency activities of the Corps of Engineers. The law provides discretionary authority for expenditures for flood emergency preparation; flood fighting and rescue operations, and emergency repair or restoration of flood control works and Federal shore protection or hurricane flood protection works. Administration of P.L. 99 is under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers. No declaration of a major disaster is required.

(g) Existing statutes and Executive orders do not in any way limit Federal agencies from taking necessary action in accordance with existing policy and statutory authority in the event of a disaster which will not brook delay in the commencement of Federal assistance or other Federal action and/or pending the designation by the President of a major disaster.

(h) The American National Red Cross is charged in accordance with its Charter, with continuing a system of national and international relief with voluntary service and financing, which in effect supports official disaster relief action.

§ 502.4 Department of Defense policies and delegation of authority.

(a) Responsibility for alleviating disaster conditions rests primarily with individuals, families, private industry, local and State governments, the American National Red Cross, and those Federal agencies having special statutory responsibilities.

(b) DOD components are authorized to assist civilian authorities as necessary or as directed by competent authority.

(c) Where the disaster is of such imminent seriousness that delay in awaiting instructions from higher authority is unwarranted, a military commander will take such action as may be required and justified under the circumstances to save human life, prevent immediate human suffering, or mitigate major property damage or destruction. The commander will immediately report to higher authority the action taken and request appropriate guidance.

(d) DOD components have been directed to develop, as appropriate, contingency plans for major disaster operations and insure that these are coordinated with appropriate civil authorities at State and local level.

(e) DOD components overseas will participate in foreign disaster relief operations as directed by unified commanders.

(f) The Department of the Army has been directed to assume responsibility for military support in disasters within the continental United States (48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia). This includes responsibility for effective utilization, coordination, and control of resources made avail-

able by the Department of the Navy, the Department of the Air Force, and other DOD components as appropriate.

(g) The Department of the Navy has been directed to coordinate with the Department of the Army in planning and supporting civil authorities in disaster relief operations.

(h) The Department of the Air Force has been directed to coordinate with the Department of the Army in planning and supporting civil authorities in disaster relief operations including activities of the Civil Air Patrol.

(i) The Joint Chiefs of Staff have been directed to issue instructions to appropriate unified commanders to insure proper planning and use of military resources for disaster relief operations in Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands.

§ 502.5 Department of the Army policies and designation of responsibilities.

(a) Military commanders will conduct relief operations in the event of emergency as described in § 502.4(c), or when directed by higher military authority or by direction of OEP under P.L. 875.

(b) Use of military resources and other military participation in disaster relief will be on a minimum essential basis and terminated at the earliest practicable time. Military assistance in rehabilitation following a disaster is not authorized, except as directed by the OEP, or in support of emergency operations conducted by the Corps of Engineers as authorized by law.

(c) Federal troops used in disaster relief activities will be under command of, and directly responsible to, their military superiors.

(d) National Guard forces, if not in active Federal service, will remain under control of the State governor and will be considered part of the local resources available to civil authorities. Federally owned National Guard equipment may accompany a unit when ordered into disaster relief operations by a governor.

(e) The Commanding General, U.S. Continental Army Command (CG USCONARC) is delegated responsibility for the conduct of Army support activities. Specifically he—

(1) Is, under the provisions of §§ 502.1-502.5, assigned responsibility for the conduct of military disaster relief in the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia.

(2) Will be prepared to conduct disaster relief operations as appropriate in Mexico or Canada upon direction of the Department of the Army.

(3) Will coordinate and insure establishment of joint control of the disaster relief efforts of all DOD components. In local disasters not warranting a declaration of a major disaster, local civil authorities can be expected to make appeals for assistance direct to installations or activities other than those operated by the Department of the Army.

(4) Will report to the Deputy Chief of Staff for Military Operations by the fastest electrical means when resources of DOD components are committed to disaster relief or when disaster conditions prevail that make commitment of DOD resources imminent.

(5) Will, as appropriate, furnish available personnel and resources to District and Division Engineers of the Corps of Engineers prosecuting a flood fight under provisions of P.L. 99, or acting in response to a disaster relief directive from OEP under provisions of P.L. 875.

(6) Will establish and maintain liaison with the Directors of OEP and OCD, the American National Red Cross, and such other Federal, State, and local governmental agencies as are necessary to discharge responsibilities under §§ 502.1-502.5.

(7) Has full authority to approve or disapprove personal requests for military assistance made by a State governor or a member of Congress. This authority will not be delegated lower than ZI army commanders. Information on such requests and action taken will be furnished to Deputy Chief of Staff for Military Operations, Department of the Army, Washington, D.C., 20310.

(8) Will insure that ZI army commanders have an effective natural disaster information plan for use in the event of military operations. The plan should provide for early dispatch of information personnel to the scene.

(f) ZI army commanders are specifically charged, under the overall direction of CG USCONARC, with supporting disaster relief operations, and they—

(1) Will establish and maintain, as appropriate, liaison with Regional Directors, OEP and OCD, area offices of the American National Red Cross and other Federal, State, and local governmental agencies.

(2) Will establish and maintain, as necessary, working relationships with appropriate DOD component headquarters, class II installations and Division/District Engineers to insure coordination of the overall military disaster relief effort within the Army area and will secure necessary information from such installations as required for reports.

(3) Will assume control of resources made available by class II installations and activities for disaster assistance. If class II installation or activity resources are required but have not been made available by the activity commander, the ZI army commander will forward a request with justification through command channels to the Deputy Chief of Staff for Military Operations, Department of the Army. In those cases where commanders are unable to communicate with Headquarters, Department of the Army, and where in the opinion of the ZI army commander concerned, the extreme emergency warrants the temporary

use of such resources, he will direct their use and report this action through command channels to the Deputy Chief of Staff for Military Operations.

(4) Will, upon request, make resources available to District and Division Engineers performing a flood fight under provisions of P.L. 99 and/or support the Corps of Engineers response to directive from OEP under provisions of P.L. 875.

(5) Will coordinate the military relief effort with assistance provided by the Corps of Engineers under statutory authorities of the Chief of Engineers or as directed by the OEP under P.L. 875.

(g) Class II installation and activity commanders are responsible for supporting disaster relief efforts under the provisions of §§ 502.1-502.5, and they—

(1) Will take action in local disasters of imminent seriousness as appropriate. Such action will be reported concurrently to his headquarters and to the respective ZI army commander.

(2) Will, upon the request of the ZI army commander, designate those resources under their control which can be made immediately available for disaster relief operations. Only such resources will be placed under the operational control of the ZI army commander or Division/District Engineer conducting relief operations.

(h) The Chief of Engineers is responsible for the provision of disaster assistance by applicable Division and District Engineers when required by disaster of imminent seriousness and as authorized by statutory authorities or as directed by the OEP under P.L. 875. He will—

(1) Insure that Division and District Engineers establish and maintain appropriate liaison with ZI army commanders, regional Directors of OCD and OEP, the American National Red Cross, and other Federal, State and local governmental agencies as necessary to discharge assigned responsibilities.

(2) Furnish the ZI army commanders concerned all pertinent information on floods or other natural disasters including activities undertaken by the Corps of Engineers. Information furnished will be by the fastest electrical means and consistent with reporting requirements placed on ZI army commanders.

(3) Insure that Engineers, pre-planned procedures for disaster operations are coordinated among Division/District Engineers and the ZI army commanders, and include provisions covering flood emergencies.

J. C. LAMBERT,
Major General, U.S. Army,
The Adjutant General.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6130; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 15—COMMERCE AND FOREIGN TRADE

Chapter II—National Bureau of Standards, Department of Commerce

SUBCHAPTER B—STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIALS

PART 230—STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIALS

Subpart C—Standards of Certified Chemical Composition

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

Under the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 275a and 277, the following amendments relating to standard reference materials, issued by the National Bureau of Standards are effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The amendments add standard reference materials 1170 and 979.

The following amends Title 15 CFR Part 230.

1. Section 230.7-2 *Steels (solid form)* (b) (2) *Special ingot irons and low-alloy steels* is amended to add standard 1170 as follows:

§ 230.7-2 Steels (solid form).

Sample Nos.	Kind	Price	
		400 Series	1100 Series
1170	Selenium steel (0.3%) Se		\$35.00

2. Section 230.7-22 *Isotopic reference standards* is amended to add standard 979 as follows:

§ 230.7-22 Isotopic reference standards.

Sample Nos.	Kind	Element	Price
979	Chromium nitrate	Chromium	\$20.00

(Sec. 9, 31 Stat. 1450, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 277. Interprets or applies sec. 7, 70 Stat. 959; 15 U.S.C. 275a)

Dated: May 24, 1966.

A. V. ASTIN,
Director.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6131; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter II—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 4023]

[Wyoming 0266519; 0285651; 0322727]

WYOMING

Withdrawal for Reclamation Purposes and for the Yellowtail Wildlife Habitat Management Area

By virtue of the authority vested in the President and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), and by virtue of the authority contained in section 3 of the act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388; 43 U.S.C. 416), as amended and supplemented, it is ordered as follows:

1. Subject to valid existing rights, the following described public lands, which are under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior, are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws (Title 30 U.S.C., Ch. 2), but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws, and reserved as follows:

a. For the Yellowtail Unit, Missouri River Basin Project:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 55 N., R. 94 W.,
Sec. 9, lots 2 and 3.
T. 56 N., R. 94 W.,
Sec. 4, lots 1, 4, 5, 6, 7;
Sec. 8, lot 3;
Sec. 9, lots 1, 2, 8;
Sec. 10, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 15, lot 1;
Sec. 16, lot 1;
Sec. 20, lot 4;
Sec. 21, lot 6;
Sec. 22, lots 1, 5, 6;
Sec. 23, lots 1, 2.
T. 57 N., R. 94 W.,
Sec. 7, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 16, lots 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 17, lots 1, 5;
Sec. 21, lot 1, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 27, lot 1;
Sec. 28, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34, lots 1, 8, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described aggregate 1,455.54 acres.

b. For management in cooperation with the State of Wyoming for the Yellowtail Wildlife Habitat Management Area:

T. 57 N., R. 94 W.,
Sec. 20, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 31, lots 1, 2, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 56 N., R. 95 W.,
Sec. 2, lots 1, 2;
Sec. 3, lots 1, 2.

The areas described aggregate 309.84 acres.

2. Upon execution of a cooperative agreement with the Secretary of the Interior or his delegate, the State of Wyoming is authorized to manage the lands described in paragraph 1b above for the conservation of small game and waterfowl and as a public hunting ground, consistent with Federal programs for the management of the lands.

3. The withdrawal made by paragraph 1b of this order does not alter the applicability of the public land laws governing the use of the lands described in that paragraph, under lease, license, or permit, or governing the disposal of their mineral or vegetative resources other than under the mining laws. However, leases, licenses, contracts, or permits, will be issued only if the proposed use of the lands will not interfere with proper management of the Yellowtail Wildlife Habitat Management Area.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 31, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6143; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:46 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 4024]

[New Mexico 0559246]

NEW MEXICO

Partial Revocation of Stock Driveway Withdrawal

By virtue of the authority contained in section 10 of the Act of December 29, 1916 (39 Stat. 865; 43 U.S.C. 300), as amended, it is ordered as follows:

1. The departmental order of February 28, 1918, which established Stock Driveway Withdrawal No. 9, New Mexico No. 3, as heretofore modified, is hereby revoked so far as it affects the following described lands:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 2 S., R. 9 W.,
Sec. 19, lots 1, 2, 3, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 28, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 29, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 33, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 34, SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 2 S., R. 10 W.,
Sec. 10, N $\frac{1}{2}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 14, E $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 23, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 3., R. 9 W.,
Sec. 3, lots 1, 3, 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 10, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described aggregate 3720.77 acres of public domain and 39.65 acres of privately owned land described as lot 1 Sec. 3, T. 3 S., R. 9 W.

The lands lie in Catron County, N. Mex. The topography ranges from nearly level to hilly. Soils vary from shallow to deep in depth, and are predominantly of a heavy loam texture. The vegetation consists chiefly of grama grass with scattered stands of pinon juniper.

2. At 10 a.m. on July 6, 1966, all the public lands shall be open to operation of the public land laws generally, subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the requirements of applicable law. All valid applications received at or prior to 10 a.m. on July 6, 1966, shall be considered as

simultaneously filed at that time. Those received thereafter shall be considered in the order of filing.

3. The land has been open to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws, and to location under the United States mining laws, subject to the regulations in 43 CFR 3400.3.

The State of New Mexico has waived the preference right of application granted to certain States by R.S. 2276, as amended (43 U.S.C. 852).

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Chief, Division of Lands and Minerals Program Management and Land Office, Post Office Box 1449, Santa Fe, N. Mex., 87501.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 31, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6142; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:46 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 4025]

[Arizona 035667]

ARIZONA

Revocation of Stock Driveway Withdrawals

By virtue of the authority contained in section 10 of the act of December 29, 1916 (39 Stat. 865; 43 U.S.C. 300), as amended, it is ordered as follows:

1. The departmental order of June 6, 1923, creating Stock Driveway Withdrawal No. 164 (Arizona 6), and any other order or orders withdrawing lands for stock driveway purposes, are hereby revoked so far as they affect the following described lands:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN

T. 1 N., R. 7 E.,
Sec. 8, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 17, NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18, NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 19, NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 1 N., R. 8 E.,
Sec. 7, lots 1 and 2, S $\frac{1}{2}$ lot 4, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 8, N $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 9, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 10, S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 11, E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 23, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 26, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 35, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described including the public and nonpublic lands, aggregate 3,458.01 acres in Pinal County, of which those in T. 1 N., R. 7 E., aggregating approximately 800 acres, are the nonpublic lands.

The lands are within 2 to 3 miles of Apache Junction, and approximately 16 miles east of Mesa. Vegetation is of the desert brush type.

2. Until 10 a.m. on November 29, 1966, the State of Arizona shall have a preferred right of application to select the public lands as provided by R.S. 2276, as

amended (43 U.S.C. 852). After that time the lands shall be open to operation of the public land laws generally, subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals and the requirements of applicable law. All valid applications received at or prior to 10 a.m. on November 29, 1966, shall be considered as simultaneously filed at that time. Those received thereafter shall be considered in the order of filing.

The lands have been open to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws, and to location under the U.S. mining laws subject to the regulations in 43 CFR 3400.3.

Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Ariz.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 31, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6144; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:46 a.m.]

Title 46—SHIPPING

Chapter II—Maritime Administration, Department of Commerce

SUBCHAPTER G—EMERGENCY OPERATIONS

[General Order 75, 2d Rev., Amdt. 12]

PART 308—WAR RISK INSURANCE

Miscellaneous Amendments

Part 308 is hereby amended to reflect the following changes:

Amend § 308.6 *Period of interim binders and renewal procedure*, § 308.106 *Standard form of war risk hull insurance interim binder and optional disbursements insurance endorsement*, § 308.206 *Standard form of war risk protection and indemnity insurance interim binder*, and § 308.305 *Standard form of Second Seamen's war risk insurance interim binder*, by changing the expiration dates contained therein to read "midnight, August 7, 1966, G.m.t."

(Sec. 204, 49 Stat. 1987, as amended; 46 U.S.C. 1114)

By order of the Maritime Administrator.

Dated: June 1, 1966.

JAMES S. DAWSON, Jr.,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6167; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:48 a.m.]

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Hart Mountain National Antelope Refuge, Ore.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

OREGON HART MOUNTAIN NATIONAL ANTELOPE REFUGE

Sport fishing on the Hart Mountain National Antelope Refuge, Ore., is permitted only on the area designated by signs as open to fishing. This open area, comprising 100 acres, is delineated on maps available at the refuge headquarters, U.S. Post Office Building, Lakeview, Ore., 97630, and from the office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 730 Northeast Pacific Street, Portland, Ore., 97208. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations, subject to the following special condition:

The sport fishing season on the refuge extends from June 1 through October 31, 1966.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33, and are effective through October 31, 1966.

PAUL T. QUICK,
Regional Director Bureau of
Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

MAY 24, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6141; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:46 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Consumer and Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 905]

[Docket No. AO-85-A6]

ORANGES, GRAPEFRUIT, TANGERINES, AND TANGELOS GROWN IN FLORIDA

Notice of Hearing Regarding Proposed Amendment of Marketing Agreement and Order

Pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674), and in accordance with the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders, as amended (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of a public hearing to be held in the Auditorium, Florida Citrus Mutual Building, Lakeland, Fla., beginning at 10:30 a.m., local time, June 20, 1966, with respect to proposed amendment of the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 905, as amended (7 CFR Part 905; 30 F.R. 13933), regulating the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida. The proposed amendments have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.

The public hearing is for the purpose of receiving evidence with respect to the economic and marketing conditions relating to the proposed amendments, which are hereinafter set forth, and appropriate modifications thereof.

The following amendments were proposed jointly by the Growers Administrative and Shippers Advisory Committees (established pursuant to the marketing agreement and order), Florida Citrus Mutual, Indian River Citrus League, and Florida Fresh Citrus Shippers Association:

1. Amend § 905.34(b) to read as follows:

§ 905.34 Procedure of committees.

(b) For any decision or recommendation of either committee to be valid, five concurring votes shall be necessary: *Provided*, That the Growers Administrative Committee may recommend a regulation restricting the shipment of grapefruit, grown in Regulation Area I or Regulation Area II, which meets the requirements of the Improved No. 2 grade only upon the affirmative vote of a majority of its members from the regulation area in which such restriction would apply. The votes of each member cast for or against any recommendation made pursuant to this subpart shall be duly recorded. Each member must vote in person.

2. Add a new § 905.16 as follows:

§ 905.16 Improved No. 2 grade and Improved No. 2 Bright grade.

"Improved No. 2 grade" and "Improved No. 2 Bright grade" mean grapefruit meeting all of the requirements of the U.S. No. 2 grade and the U.S. No. 2 Bright grade and those requirements of the U.S. No. 1 grade relating to shape (form) and color, as such requirements are set forth in the U.S. Standards for Grades of Florida Grapefruit (§§ 51.750-51.783 of this title) or as such standards may hereafter be amended.

The Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service, has proposed that consideration be given at the hearing, to:

3. Making such other changes in the marketing agreement and order as may be necessary to make the entire marketing agreement and order conform to any amendments thereto which may result from this hearing.

Copies of this notice of hearing may be obtained from M. F. Miller, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service, Post Office Box 9, Lakeland, Fla., 33802.

Dated: May 31, 1966.

CLARENCE H. GIRARD,
Deputy Administrator,
Regulatory Programs.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6150; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:47 a.m.]

[7 CFR Part 1038]

[Docket No. AO 194-A12]

MILK IN ROCK RIVER VALLEY MARKETING AREA

Decision on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and to Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure, governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held at Rockford, Ill., May 19, 1966, pursuant to notice thereof issued May 11, 1966 (31 F.R. 7061).

The material issue on the record of the hearing related to elimination of the provision which limits the area within which Class II classification is permitted on milk transferred or diverted to nonpool plants.

It is found on the basis of the record that the due and timely execution of the function of the Secretary under the Act imperatively and unavoidably requires the omission of a recommended decision and opportunity for exceptions thereto on the material issue. Marketing conditions in this market are such that it is

urgent that remedial action be taken as soon as possible. Any delay in informing interested persons of the conclusions made will tend to make ineffective the relief sought. The time involved in the preparation, filing, and publication of a recommended decision and the filing of exceptions thereto would in this instance contribute to the threat of disorderly marketing of excess milk in this market.

The notice of hearing stated that consideration would be given to the economic and emergency marketing conditions that relate to the proposed amendments. Action under the procedure described above was requested by proponents at the hearing. There was no opposition to it.

It is therefore found that good cause exists for omission of the recommended decision and the opportunity for filing exceptions thereto.

Findings and conclusions. The following findings and conclusions on the material issue are based on evidence presented at the hearing and the record thereof:

Elimination of distance limitation on Class II classification of milk. The distance limitation on the area within which milk may be moved to nonpool plants and be classified as Class II should be removed. The present order limits the "surplus disposal area" to not more than 150 miles from the nearer of the post offices of Janesville, Wis., or Sterling, Ill. Proponent cooperatives ask that this distance limitation be deleted from the order. There was no opposition to the proposal.

The Chicago order was terminated April 30, 1966. It is expected that as a consequence several plants formerly pooled under the Chicago order will become pooled under the Rock River Valley order beginning in May 1966. At times excess milk from such plants has been moved to nonpool plants located beyond the area in which Class II classification may apply. Any movements beyond this area would be automatically classified as Class I milk even though disposed of in manufactured dairy products which carry a Class II value.

Although disposition of excess milk has been contained within the surplus disposal area during the current month of May, it is likely that transfers and diversions of excess milk may be required in June and subsequent months to points in southern Illinois and Indiana.

Handlers regulated under the Rock River Valley order prior to May 1, 1966, had no need to dispose of excess milk at the Class II classification beyond 150 miles of either Janesville or Sterling. Therefore, the area within the mileage limitation was sufficient to insure that orderly disposition of any excess milk on the market could be effected.

Under the former Chicago order the area in which milk could be disposed of in surplus uses and be classified and priced as Class II included the States of Wisconsin, Illinois, and Indiana. Excess milk was moved at times from Chicago plants, particularly those located in Illinois, to manufacturing plants in Illinois and Indiana which are located outside the 150-mile radius from Janesville and Sterling. To accommodate movements from these same plants now regulated by the Rock River Valley order, the order should be amended to accommodate the manner in which excess milk is moved. This action will assure producers of obtaining returns for their milk in accordance with the class in which it is used.

The market administrator is required to verify the utilization claimed on milk moved to nonpool plants. It may reasonably be expected that the market administrator will be able to make such verification at nonpool plants where excess milk of this market is moved without incurring undue expense. Many such nonpool plants in southern Illinois and Indiana which are used as outlets for excess milk from this market are located near other order markets and in some cases are subject to verification of the classification of milk claimed under the provisions of the other orders. Thus, audit of the utilization in these manufacturing milk plants should not significantly add to the expense of administration of this order.

Expansion of the area by permitting greater flexibility in disposing of excess supplies to manufacturing outlets will contribute to orderly marketing of milk in the Rock River Valley market. Elimination of the distance limitation will permit the efficient disposal of supplies not needed by order handlers for Class I purposes in the marketing area.

Rulings on proposed findings and conclusions. No briefs, proposed findings or conclusions were filed on behalf of interested parties.

General findings. The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) The tentative marketing agreement and the order as hereby proposed to be amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(b) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the proposed marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid fac-

tors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(c) The tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, will regulate the handling of milk in the same manner as, and will be applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

Marketing agreement and order. Annexed hereto and made a part hereof are two documents entitled, respectively, "Marketing Agreement Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Rock River Valley Marketing Area" and "Order Amending the Order, Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Rock River Valley Marketing Area," which have been decided upon as the detailed and appropriate means of effectuating the foregoing conclusions.

It is hereby ordered. That all of this decision, except the attached marketing agreement, be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The regulatory provisions of said marketing agreement are identical with those contained in the order, as hereby proposed to be amended by the attached order which will be published with this decision.

Determination of representative period. The month of March 1966 is hereby determined to be the representative period for the purpose of ascertaining whether the issuance of the attached order, as amended and as hereby proposed to be amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Rock River Valley marketing area is approved or favored by producers, as defined under the terms of the order, as amended and as hereby proposed to be amended, and who, during such representative period were engaged in the production of milk for sale within the aforesaid marketing area.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on May 31, 1966.

GEORGE L. MEHREN,
Assistant Secretary.

Order Amending the Order Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Rock River Valley Marketing Area

§ 1038.0 Findings and determinations.

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) *Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.* Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing

¹ This order shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders have been met.

Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Rock River Valley marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order as hereby amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof the handling of milk in the Rock River Valley marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended and as hereby further amended, as follows:

Section 1038.44(c) and the introductory text of § 1038.44(d) is amended as follows:

§ 1038.44 Transfers.

(c) As Class I milk, if transferred in packaged form to a nonpool plant that is not an other order plant;

(d) As Class I milk, if transferred in bulk or diverted to a nonpool plant that is neither an other order plant nor a producer-handler plant, unless the requirements of subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph are met in which case the skim milk and butterfat so transferred or diverted shall be classified in accordance with the assignment resulting from subparagraph (3) of this paragraph:

[F.R. Doc. 66-6151; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

17 CFR Part 1039.1

[Docket No. AO 212-A18]

MILK IN MILWAUKEE, WIS., MARKETING AREA

Decision on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and to Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of

1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure, governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held at Milwaukee, Wis., May 20, 1966, pursuant to notice thereof issued May 11, 1966 (31 F.R. 7061), and notice of rescheduling issued May 13, 1966.

The material issues on the record of the hearing related to:

1. Elimination of the provision which limits the area within which Class II classification is permitted on milk transferred or diverted to nonpool plants.
2. Identifying separate plant handler systems.
3. Exemption of certain producer milk from the base-excess plan.

It is found on the basis of the record that the due and timely execution of the function of the Secretary under the Act imperatively and unavoidably requires the omission of a recommended decision and opportunity for exceptions thereto on the material issues. Marketing conditions in this market are such that it is urgent that remedial action be taken as soon as possible. Any delay in informing interested persons of the conclusions made will tend to make ineffective the relief sought. The time involved in the preparation, filing, and publication of a recommended decision and the filing of exceptions thereto would in this instance contribute to the threat of disorderly marketing conditions in the handling of milk in this market.

The notice of hearing stated that consideration would be given to the economic and emergency marketing conditions that relate to the proposed amendments. Action under the procedure described above was requested by proponents at the hearing.

It is therefore found that good cause exists for omission of the recommended decision and the opportunity for filing exceptions thereto.

Findings and conclusions. The following findings and conclusions on the material issues are based on evidence presented at the hearing and the record thereof:

1. *Elimination of the distance limitation on Class II classification of milk.* The distance limitation on the area within which milk may be moved to nonpool plants and be classified as Class II should be removed. The present order limits the "surplus disposal area" to nonpool plants located within the State of Wisconsin, or not more than 150 miles from the Milwaukee City Hall.

The proponent cooperative which was supported by another cooperative asked that this distance limitation be deleted from the order. They requested that the effective date be May 1, 1966, to accommodate the Class II classification of milk currently being transferred or diverted to nonpool plants at distant locations.

The Chicago milk order was terminated April 30, 1966. It is expected that as a consequence plants of several handlers that were formerly pooled under the Chicago order will become pooled

under the Milwaukee order beginning in May 1966. At times excess milk from these plants has been moved to nonpool plants located beyond the area in which Class II classification may apply. Any milk movements beyond this area would be classified automatically as Class I milk even though disposed of in manufactured dairy products which carry a Class II value.

Disposition of excess milk has been made to points outside Wisconsin and more than 150 miles from Milwaukee in the current month of May. It is likely that transfers and diversions of excess milk will be required in June and subsequent months to nonpool plants located in southern Illinois and Indiana.

Handlers regulated under the Milwaukee order prior to May 1, 1966, had no need to dispose of excess milk at the Class II classification outside the State of Wisconsin and beyond 150 miles of Milwaukee. Therefore, the limitation on the surplus disposal area did not affect the orderly disposition of any excess milk on the market.

Under the former Chicago order the area in which milk could be disposed in surplus uses and be classified and priced as Class II included the States of Wisconsin, Illinois, and Indiana. Excess milk was moved at times from Chicago plants, particularly those located in Illinois and southern Wisconsin, to manufacturing plants in Illinois and Indiana which are located outside the 150-mile radius from Milwaukee. To accommodate movement from these same plants now regulated by the Milwaukee order, the order should be amended to accommodate the manner in which excess milk is moved. This action will assure producers of obtaining returns for their milk in accordance with the classification in which it is used.

The market administrator is required to verify the utilization claimed on milk moved to nonpool plants. It may reasonably be expected that he will be able to make such verification at nonpool plants where excess milk of this market is moved without incurring undue expense. Many such nonpool plants located in southern Illinois and Indiana which are used as outlets for excess milk from this market are located near other order markets and, in some cases, are subject to verification of the classification of milk claimed under the provisions of the other orders. Thus, audit of the utilization in these manufacturing milk plants should not add significantly to the expense of administration of this order.

Expansion of the area by permitting greater flexibility in disposing of excess supplies to manufacturing outlets will contribute to the orderly marketing of milk in the Milwaukee market. Elimination of the distance limitation will permit the efficient disposal of supplies not needed by order handlers for Class I purposes in the marketing area.

It is necessary that amendatory action on this issue be made effective on and after May 1, 1966. Handlers and producers formerly under the Chicago milk order will be the only persons affected

by this action. Upon termination of the Chicago order milk continued to move from former Chicago plants on a regular day-to-day basis to nonpool plants outside the area of surplus disposal permitted under the present Milwaukee order. Such milk is disposed of in Class II manufactured product uses for a Class II return but the Milwaukee order now requires a charge at the Class I price on the milk. Handlers and producers had insufficient notice of this situation or time to adapt to it so that excess milk would not be charged for at the Class I price. Providing that milk moved to nonpool plants be priced at the Class I price when it was used for manufactured dairy products at Class II values is inequitable to handlers. Therefore, this amendment should become effective May 1, 1966.

2. *Handler definition.* The handler definition should be revised to identify as separate handlers, those persons operating plants which become regulated by this order on and after May 1, 1966, if the operator requests separate handler status.

With the termination of the Chicago milk order (Part 1030) effective April 30, 1966, several plants which had prior to that time been regulated by such order are expected to be regulated by the Milwaukee order. These plants which will become regulated in May under the Milwaukee order are engaged primarily in serving the area which was defined as the Chicago marketing area. However, since these plants do make disposition of sufficient quantities of milk in the Milwaukee area they are subject to regulation by such order in the absence of the Chicago order.

Although the plants serving the Milwaukee marketing area and those serving the Chicago area as defined in the terminated order are operated by the same person the plant systems serving Milwaukee and those serving the Chicago market are operated separately with little, if any, movements between the plant systems under the same person's control. This separate identity should be maintained under the circumstances which have resulted in these additional plants becoming regulated under the Milwaukee order.

Failure to recognize the separate operations of such persons which have served the Milwaukee market historically will tend to create disorderly marketing conditions in the Milwaukee area. By treating all plants operated by the same person as a single handler the total receipts and uses of milk at all such plants would be treated as one handler system and one uniform price would be computed. This would result in the producers delivering to the Milwaukee plant of such multiple plant operation receiving a somewhat lower blend price than would result from a blend computed on the basis of the use of milk by the Milwaukee plant. This sharing of Milwaukee Class I sales with producers delivering to plants having little association with the Milwaukee market was opposed by three cooperative associations of producers supplying the

Milwaukee market historically. One of these cooperatives, the proponent of the amendment, also has many more of its members supplying the plants which have recently become regulated by the Milwaukee order. These producers' representatives testified that the handler-producer relationships as they existed in the Milwaukee market prior to the termination of the Chicago order should not be affected due to these plants becoming newly regulated by the Milwaukee order.

One cooperative witness representing producers delivering to plants historically regulated by the Milwaukee order and to plants which became regulated as a consequence of the Chicago order termination opposed the amendment of the handler definition. This witness stated that by combining the uses of milk at all plants operated by one person the resulting blend price for the newly regulated plants would be increased and the blend price for the Milwaukee plant would be reduced. However, this witness expressed the judgment that the handler would not be able to reduce the price actually paid at the Milwaukee plant since he would probably have to pay a premium at that location because the prices paid by other handlers in Milwaukee would otherwise be higher and he would lose his producer supply to such handlers.

One handler who would be affected by the proposed amendment supported the proposal to consider as a separate handler his plant operation which has been regulated historically by the Milwaukee order.

It is possible that a newly constructed plant or one that has had no association with the market may start supplying the Milwaukee market with milk in sufficient quantity to become a pool plant after May 1, 1966. Such a distributing plant or supply plant should be a part of the separate handled system of pool plants (existing prior to May 1, 1966), under the Milwaukee order if it in the current month distributes 50 percent or more of its Grade A milk receipts in the marketing area or supplies 50 percent or more of its Grade A milk receipts from dairy farmers to distributing plants which were pool plants serving the Milwaukee market prior to May 1, 1966.

The proposed amendment to the handler definition should be made effective May 1, 1966. The announcement of uniform prices for each handler is made by the market administrator on or before the 12th day of the month following that in which milk was received. The price for May 1966 milk will thus be announced on or before June 12, 1966.

Providing that this amendment become effective on May 1, 1966, will ease the adjustments required by the addition of plants at which from 3,000 to 5,000 producers deliver milk. This would prevent any short-run fluctuation in uniform prices to producers which could result from the impact on the market of the addition of this many new producers to the approximately 2,200 producers now on

the market. The amendment does not modify the minimum payments at class prices required by handlers. Hence, no handler's obligation under the order would be affected.

3. Exemption from base-excess plan. No exemption should be granted in the computation of bases and the payment plan to apply in May and June 1966 to producers who first entered the market in this period.

The Milwaukee order base and excess plan provisions provide that bases will be computed for producers at any nonpool plant which becomes a pool plant in the March through June period. The bases upon which such producers are paid in the months of March through June are determined based upon their deliveries of milk in the preceding August-November to the nonpool plant which is currently a pool plant.

The representative of the cooperative proposing exemption of new producers from the base-excess plan supported the proposed amendment because producers formerly under the Chicago order which was terminated April 30, 1966, had no advance notice that they would be paid on a base-excess plan in May and June 1966. Thus, they had no opportunity to establish bases upon which they would receive payment. Also, there are additional administrative complexities and costs involved in computing May and June bases for the added producers.

The cooperative representative testified that if any change to a base and excess plan such as was proposed required a referendum among individual producers that no action should be taken on the proposal under consideration in view of the limited time available in which a referendum could be held.

The Food and Agriculture Act of 1965 amended the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 to require that a referendum among individual producers voting individually be required on any amendment to a base and excess plan. In view of the limited time remaining, conduct of a referendum and its completion with final action to exempt certain producers from the base-excess plan for May and June 1966 is difficult, if not impossible, to accomplish. Consideration is also given to the fact that cooperatives have the right to reblend proceeds from milk sales to members and this could mitigate the problem of base-excess payments for milk in May and June 1966.

In view of the above, no action should be taken on the proposal to amend the base-excess plan of the order.

Rulings on proposed findings and conclusions. Briefs and proposed findings and conclusions were filed on behalf of certain interested parties. These briefs, proposed findings and conclusions and the evidence in the record were considered in making the findings and conclusions set forth above. To the extent that the suggested findings and conclusions filed by interested parties are inconsistent with the findings and conclusions set forth herein, the requests to make such findings or to reach such

conclusions are denied for the reasons previously stated in this decision.

General findings. The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) The tentative marketing agreement and the order as hereby proposed to be amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(b) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the proposed marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(c) The tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, will regulate the handling of milk in the same manner as, and will be applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

Marketing agreement and order. Annexed hereto and made a part hereof are two documents entitled, respectively, "Marketing Agreement Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Milwaukee, Wis., Marketing Area" and "Order Amending the Order, Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Milwaukee, Wis., Marketing Area," which have been decided upon as the detailed and appropriate means of effectuating the foregoing conclusions.

It is hereby ordered, That all of this decision, except the attached marketing agreement, be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The regulatory provisions of said marketing agreement are identical with those contained in the order, as hereby proposed to be amended by the attached order which will be published with this decision.

Determination of representative period. The month of March 1966 is hereby determined to be the representative period for the purpose of ascertaining whether the issuance of the attached order, as amended and as hereby proposed to be amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Milwaukee, Wis., marketing area is approved or favored by producers, as defined under the terms of the order, as amended and as hereby proposed to be amended, and who, during such representative period were engaged

in the production of milk for sale within the aforesaid marketing area.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on May 31, 1966.

GEORGE L. MEHREN,
Assistant Secretary.

Order¹ Amending the Order Regulating the Handling of Milk in the Milwaukee, Wis., Marketing Area

§ 1039.0 Findings and determinations.

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) Findings upon the basis of the hearing record. Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held upon certain proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Milwaukee, Wis., marketing area. Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The parity prices of milk, as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act, are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the said marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the order as hereby amended are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(3) The said order as hereby amended, regulates the handling of milk in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

Order relative to handling. It is therefore ordered, that on and after the effective date hereof the handling of milk in the Milwaukee, Wisconsin, marketing area shall be in conformity to and in compliance with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid order, as amended and as hereby further amended, as follows:

1. Section 1039.12(a) is revised as follows:

¹ This order shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders have been met.

§ 1039.12 Handler.

(a) Any person in his capacity as the operator of a pool plant, except that any person who is the operator of a distributing plant or a supply plant that first became a pool plant under this part after May 1, 1966, upon written notification to, and subject to approval by the market administrator may be a separate handler for such distributing plant or supply plant if such distributing plant distributes in the current month less than 50 percent of its Grade A milk receipts in the Milwaukee marketing area or if such supply plant ships in the current month less than 50 percent of its Grade A milk receipts from dairy farmers to pool distributing plants which were pool plants under this part prior to May 1, 1966,

2. Section 1039.44(c) and the introductory text of § 1039.44(d) is revised as follows:

§ 1039.44 Transfers.

(c) As Class I milk if transferred in packaged form to a nonpool plant that is not an other order plant;

(d) As Class I milk if transferred in bulk or diverted to a nonpool plant that is neither an other order plant nor a producer-handler plant unless the requirements of subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this paragraph are met, in which case the skim milk and butterfat so transferred or diverted shall be classified in accordance with the assignment resulting from subparagraph (3) of this paragraph:

[F.R. Doc. 66-6152; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-CE-40]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations which would alter the controlled airspace in the Hastings, Nebr., terminal area.

Controlled airspace in the Hastings, Nebr., terminal area is presently designated as follows:

(1) The Hastings, Nebr., control zone is designated as that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Hastings, Nebr., Municipal Airport (latitude 40°36'20" N., longitude 98°25'30" W.), and within 2 miles each side of the 338° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles N of the airport, and within 2 miles each side of the Hastings VOR 145° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles SE of the VOR. The control zone shall be effective during the time established by a Notice to Airmen and

continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

(2) The Hastings, Nebr., transition area is designated as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of Hastings Municipal Airport (latitude 40°36'20" N., longitude 98°25'30" W.), and within 5 miles E and 8 miles W of the 338° bearing from the Hastings Municipal Airport, extending from the airport to 12 miles N of the airport, and within 2 miles each side of the Hastings VOR 145° radial extending from the VOR to 8 miles SE; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 7 miles E and 5 miles W of the 158° bearing from the Hastings Municipal Airport, extending from the arc of a 7-mile radius circle centered on Hastings Municipal Airport to 18 miles SE of the airport and within 5 miles SW and 8 miles NE of the Hastings VOR 145° radial extending from the VOR to 12 miles SE, and within 5 miles E and 8 miles W of the 338° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport extending from 12 miles N of the airport to 20 miles N of the airport, and within 5 miles each side of the 066° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport, extending from the arc of a 7-mile radius circle centered on Hastings Municipal Airport to the S edge of V-138, and within 5 miles each side of the 286° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport, extending from a line 8 miles W of and parallel to a 338° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport to V-3 S.

The Hastings, Nebr., ADF and VOR instrument approach procedures are being revised, which requires modification of the airspace designations in the Hastings, Nebr., terminal area.

The Federal Aviation Agency, having completed a comprehensive review of the terminal airspace structural requirements in the Hastings terminal area, as a result of the revisions of the Hastings ADF and VOR instrument approach procedures, proposes the following airspace actions:

(1) Alter the Hastings, Nebr., control zone by redesignating it as that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Hastings, Nebr., Municipal Airport (latitude 40°36'20" N., longitude 98°25'30" W.), within 2 miles each side of the 338° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 14 miles N of the airport, and within 2 miles each side of the 143° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles SE of the airport. The control zone shall be effective during the time established by a Notice to Airmen and continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

(2) Alter the Hastings, Nebr., transition area by redesignating it as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of the Hastings Municipal Airport (latitude 40°36'20" N., longitude 98°25'30" W.), within 2 miles each side of the 323° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport extending from the 7-mile radius zone to 8 miles NW of the airport; within 2 miles each side of the 338° bearing from

Hastings Municipal Airport extending from the 7-mile radius zone to 14 miles N of the airport, and within 2 miles each side of the 143° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport extending from the 7-mile radius zone to 8 miles SE of the airport; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 8 miles NE and 5 miles SW of the 143° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport extending from the Hastings Municipal Airport to 14 miles SE, within 5 miles E and 9 miles W of the 338° bearing from the Hastings Municipal Airport extending from the Hastings Municipal Airport to 18 miles N, and within 5 miles each side of the 066° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport extending from the Hastings Municipal Airport to the S edge of V-138 and the area bounded on the S by a line 5 miles S of and parallel to the 286° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport on the N by V-8 S and on the E by a line 9 miles W of and parallel to the 338° bearing from Hastings Municipal Airport.

The proposed control zone with extensions will provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing the public instrument approach procedures during descent below 1,000 feet above the surface when the control zone is effective.

The proposed 700-foot floor transition area with extensions will provide the controlled airspace protection required for aircraft executing the public instrument approach procedures during descent from 1,500 to 700 feet above the surface when the control zone designation is not in effect. The proposed 1,200-foot floor transition area with extensions will provide controlled airspace protection required for aircraft executing public instrument approach procedures during descent to 1,500 feet above the surface.

The floors of the airway that traverse the transition area proposed herein would automatically coincide with the floors of the transition area.

Modifications proposed herein are recommended to accommodate new procedures; therefore, no procedural changes would be required. Specific details of these new procedures may be examined by contacting the Chief, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City, Mo., 64110.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City, Mo., 64110. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be sub-

mitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City, Mo., 64110.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on May 23, 1966.

EDWARD C. MARSH,
Director, Central Region.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6161; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:48 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-CE-47]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations which would designate controlled airspace in the Hayward and Cable, Wis., terminal areas.

At the present there is no designated controlled airspace in either of these terminal areas.

The Federal Aviation Agency, having completed a comprehensive review of the terminal airspace structural requirements in the Hayward and Cable, Wis., terminal areas, as a result of the State of Wisconsin installing an "H" facility between Hayward Municipal Airport and Cable Union Airport and the development of instrument approach procedures for both airports utilizing this facility as the navigational aid, proposes the following airspace action:

Designate a transition area in the Hayward, Wis., terminal area to comprise that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of Hayward, Wis., Municipal Airport (latitude 46°01'30" N., longitude 91°26'39" W.); and within a 5-mile radius of Cable Union Airport, Cable, Wis. (latitude 46°11'37" N., longitude 91°14'38" W.); and within 2 miles each side of the 025° bearing from Hayward Municipal Airport extending from the Hayward Municipal Airport 5-mile radius area to 15 miles NE of the airport; and within 2 miles each side of the 230° bearing from Cable Union Airport, extending from the Cable Union Airport 5-mile radius area to 17 miles SW of the airport; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 5 miles SE and 8 miles NW of the 025° bearing from Hayward Municipal Airport, extending from 7 miles to 19 miles NE of the airport; and within 5 miles NW and 8 miles SE of the 230° bearing from Cable Union Airport, extending from 4 miles to 21 miles SW of the airport; and 5 miles each side of the 029° bearing from

Hayward Municipal Airport extending from 6 miles NE of the airport to V-217.

The "H" facility will be located at latitude 40°06'37" N., longitude 91°23'01" W.

The 700-foot floor transition area would provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing the prescribed instrument approach procedures for Hayward Municipal and Cable Union Airports during descent from 1,500 to 700 feet above the surface. It would also provide this protection for aircraft departing the two airports during climb from 700 to 1,200 feet above the surface.

The 1,200-foot floor transition area would provide controlled airspace protection for the procedure turn areas of the prescribed instrument approach procedures, for the holding patterns, and for aircraft transitioning from V-217 to execute instrument approach procedures at either Hayward Municipal or Cable Union Airports.

No airways will traverse the transition area proposed herein.

The controlled airspace proposed for designation in this docket was developed to protect new instrument approach procedures. Therefore, no changes to instrument approach procedures would be required.

Specific details of this proposal and the instrument approach procedures for which it was developed to protect may be examined by contacting the Chief, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City, Mo., 64110.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City, Mo., 64110. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Agency, 4825 Troost Avenue, Kansas City, Mo., 64110.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on May 23, 1966.

EDWARD C. MARSH,
Director, Central Region.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6162; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:48 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-CE-48]

CONTROL ZONE

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to designate controlled airspace in the Davenport, Iowa, terminal area.

No control zone is presently designated in the Davenport, Iowa, terminal area.

The Federal Aviation Agency, having completed a comprehensive review of the terminal airspace structural requirements in the Davenport, Iowa, terminal area, proposes to take the following airspace action:

Designate a control zone at Davenport, Iowa, to comprise that airspace within a 5-mile radius of the Davenport Municipal Airport (latitude 41°36'40" N., longitude 90°35'20" W.), and within 2 miles each side of the 224° bearing from the Davenport RBN extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles southwest of the RBN. This control zone shall be effective during the times established by a Notice to Airmen and continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

The proposed control zone will provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing prescribed departure and approach procedures at the Davenport, Iowa, Municipal Airport, and will be effective during the hours of operation of the Weather Reporting Service to be provided by personnel certificated by the U.S. Weather Bureau. Initially, the hours for the taking of these observations and the dissemination of weather information will be from 0700 to 1900 local time daily. Normally, 30 days' notice will be given prior to any change of these hours by a Notice to Airmen and continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

No procedural changes would be effected in conjunction with the action proposed herein.

Specific details of this proposal may be examined by contacting the Chief, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo., 64106.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo., 64106. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted

in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Agency, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo., 64106.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued at Kansas City, Mo., on May 23, 1966.

EDWARD C. MARSH,
Director, Central Region.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6163; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:48 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 73]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-WE-26]

TEMPORARY RESTRICTED AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Agency (FAA) is considering an amendment to Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate a temporary restricted area lying within the presently designated boundaries of the Gila Bend, Ariz., Restricted Area R-2304.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Western Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 5651 West Manchester Avenue, Post Office Box 90007, Airport Station, Los Angeles, Calif., 90009. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Agency, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C., 20553. An informal docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

The present Gila Bend, Ariz., Restricted Area R-2304 is designated from the surface to flight level 240, sunrise to sunset, Monday through Friday. The Department of the Air Force has submitted a proposal for the designation of a small area in the northeast corner of R-2304 as a temporary restricted area, from the surface to 6,000 feet MSL, on a continuous basis from September 1, 1966, to September 1, 1967, unless canceled sooner by a Notice to Airmen (NOTAM).

The stated requirement for this area is to provide the U.S. Army Electronic Proving Ground, Fort Huachuca, Ariz., airspace on a continuous basis within which a vertical antenna 2,458.8 feet in length suspended from a tethered balloon, can be located. This antenna will be used to conduct electronic countermeasure vulnerability tests of the LORAN-D Hyperbolic Navigation System. These tests are considered essential to the development of this navigation system and important to national defense. The balloon site would be located at the geographic center (latitude 32°38'25" N., longitude 112°21'45" W.) of the proposed area. The balloon would remain in a stationary position 2,500 feet above the surface (5,200 feet MSL) at all times when aloft. When the proposed restricted area is not in use for the purpose for which it was designated, it would be released to the Albuquerque Air Route Traffic Control Center for use by the public under a joint use agreement.

The following provisions would be incorporated in the balloon system to insure its maximum altitude and stability within the proposed airspace:

1. The balloon would be anchored by a 2,200-pound tensile strength cable.
2. An automatic failsafe deflation device consisting of a rip panel in the balloon would be attached to the cable. This device would be automatically activated should the balloon break free of the tether, and would deflate the balloon causing it to descend to ground level.
3. A barometric device would be installed to automatically deflate the balloon at a preset altitude should the safety device in 2. above fail.
4. Red obstruction lights would be mounted on the balloon to provide visible observation during periods of reduced visibility and at night.

In consideration of the foregoing, the FAA proposes the designation of R-2304A as hereinafter set forth.

R-2304A GILA BEND, ARIZ.

Boundaries: Beginning at latitude 32°36'00" N., longitude 112°18'00" W.; to latitude 32°36'00" N., longitude 112°24'00" W.; to latitude 32°41'30" N., longitude 112°24'00" W.; to latitude 32°38'30" N., longitude 112°18'00" W.; to point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. Surface to 6,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation. Continuous, September 1, 1966, to September 1, 1967, unless canceled sooner by Notice to Airmen.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Agency, Albuquerque ARTC Center.

Using agency. Commander, Luke AFB, Ariz.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 27, 1966.

T. McCORMACK,
Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6132; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:45 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs

[T.D. 66-115]

BUNGE CORP.

Notice of U.S. Citizenship

MAY 27, 1966.

This is to give notice under § 3.21(j), Customs Regulations, that Bunge Corp., 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza, New York, N.Y., 10005, incorporated under the laws of the State of New York, has met the qualification requirements of § 3.19(a) (4), Customs Regulations, as a citizen of the United States and has filed the certificate under oath required by § 3.21(g), Customs Regulations. Therefore, a Certificate of Compliance, customs Form 1262, was issued to the corporation by the Commissioner of Customs on May 27, 1966, in accordance with § 3.21(i), Customs Regulations. The certificate is valid for a period of 3 years from the date of its issuance unless there first occurs a change in corporate status requiring a report under § 3.21(h) of the Customs Regulations.

The text of the law is stated in Treasury Decision 54693, dated September 23, 1958. The regulations found necessary to give effect to the law are stated in Treasury Decision 54827, dated April 2, 1959. Vessels built in the United States and owned by the corporation which are non-self-propelled or which, if self-propelled, are of less than 500 gross tons shall be entitled to documentations, as limited by the restrictions stated in section 883-1, title 46, United States Code.

[SEAL]

LESTER D. JOHNSON,
Commissioner of Customs.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6153; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[Docket No. A-388]

HOWARD H. CONN

Notice of Loan Application

Howard H. Conn, Post Office Box 306, Petersburg, Alaska, 99833, has applied for a loan from the Fisheries Loan Fund to aid in financing the purchase of a used 50-foot registered length wood vessel to engage in the fishery for halibut, salmon, black cod, Dungeness crab, and king crab.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 89-85 and Fisheries Loan Fund Procedures (50 CFR Part 250, as revised Aug. 11, 1965), that

the above entitled application is being considered by the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C., 20240. Any person desiring to submit evidence that the contemplated operation of such vessel will cause economic hardship or injury to efficient vessel operators already operating in that fishery must submit such evidence in writing to the Director, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice. If such evidence is received it will be evaluated along with such other evidence as may be available before making a determination that the contemplated operations of the vessel will or will not cause such economic hardship or injury.

HAROLD E. CROWTHER,
Acting Director,

Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

JUNE 1, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6157; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Office of the Secretary

TEXAS

Extension of Designation of Area for Emergency Loans

For the purpose of making emergency loans pursuant to section 321 of the Consolidated Farmers Home Administration Act of 1961 (7 U.S.C. 1961), it has been determined that in the herein-after-named county in the State of Texas natural disasters have caused a continuing need for agricultural credit not readily available from commercial banks, cooperative lending agencies, or other responsible sources.

	Present designation
Texas	
De Witt	30 F.R. 9887

Pursuant to the authority set forth above, emergency loans will not be made in the above-named county after June 30, 1967, except to applicants who previously received emergency or special livestock loan assistance and who can qualify under established policies and procedures.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 1st day of June 1966.

ORVILLE L. FREEMAN,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6171; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Business and Defense Services Administration

BONA FIDE MOTOR-VEHICLE MANUFACTURERS

Notice of Determination

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to authority contained in Chapter III, Part 301, of Title 19 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Administrator, as of May 27, 1966, has determined the following to be bona fide motor-vehicle manufacturers:

Name and address and effective date of determination

American Motors Corp., 14250 Plymouth Road, Detroit, Mich., 48232, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
American Trailer Service, Inc., 2814 North Cleveland Avenue, St. Paul, Minn., 55113, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Antietam Equipment Co., Post Office Box 91, Hagerstown, Md., 21740, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Automotive Safety, Inc., 252 Central Avenue, Newark, N.J., 07103, January 18, 1965, January 17, 1966.
Automotive Service Co., 111-113 North Waterloo, Jackson, Mich., 49204, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Avanti Motor Corp., 613 South Michigan Street, South Bend, Ind., 46601, June 7, 1965, June 7, 1966.
Ballard Brake & Equipment Co., Inc., 1316 West Eighth Street, Marion, Ind., 46952, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Adam Black & Sons, 276-300 Tonnele Avenue, Jersey City, N.J., 07306, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Blue Bird Body Co., Fort Valley, Ga., 31030, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Capitol Trailer & Body Co., 3420 East Broadway, No. Little Rock, Ark., 72117, December 27, 1965.
The Carnegie Body Co., 9500 Brookpart Road, Cleveland, Ohio, 44129, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Checker Motors Corp., 2016 North Pitcher Street, Kalamazoo, Mich., January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Chrysler Corp., 341 Massachusetts Avenue, Highland Park, Mich., 48231, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Commercial Truck & Trailer, Inc., 313 North State Street, Girard, Ohio, 44620, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Divco-Wayne Corp., 680 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y., 10019, January 18, 1966.
Roy F. Drake Body & Equipment Co., 1501 North Minnesota Avenue, Sioux Falls, S. Dak., 57101, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Eggman Motor & Equipment Sales, Inc., 2959 West Beltline Highway, Madison, Wis., 53713, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
Elder-Oilfield, Inc., 5875 North Loop, Post Office Box 2061, Houston, Tex., 77001, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.

Name and address and effective date of determination

Emmert Trailer, 614-618 Mishawaka Street, Elkhart, Ind., 46514, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 FWD Corp., 105 East 12th Street, Clintonville, Wis., 54929, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 The Flxible Co., 326-332 North Water Street, Loudonville, Ohio, 44842, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Ford Motor Co., The American Road, Dearborn, Mich., 48120, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Freightliner Corp., 5400 North Basin Avenue, Portland, Ore., January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 General Motors Corp., 3044 West Grand Boulevard, Detroit, Mich., 48202, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 The Hell Co., 3000 West Montana Street, Milwaukee, Wis., 53201, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Hendrickson Manufacturing Co., 8001 West 47th Street, Lyons, Ill., 60534, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 The Hess & Elsenhardt Co., Blue Ash Avenue, Rossmoyne, Cincinnati, Ohio, 45242, December 15, 1965.
 Hobbs Equipment Co., Inc., Keeler Avenue, Norwalk, Conn., 06856, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Hudsonville Truck & Trailer Service Co., 5210 36th Avenue, Hudsonville, Mich., 49426, January 18, 1965, January 31, 1966.
 O. G. Hughes & Sons, Inc., 312 South Central, Knoxville, Tenn., 37902, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Humes Truck & Trailer Manufacturing Co., 907 Franklin Avenue, Steubenville, Ohio, 43952, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Illinois Auto Electric Co., 2001-37 Indiana Avenue, Chicago, Ill., 60616, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 International Harvester Co., 401 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Ill., 60611, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Kaiser Jeep Corp., 940 North Cove Boulevard, Toledo, Ohio, 43601, January 18, 1965, January 19, 1966.
 Kay Wheel Sales Co., Tacony and Van Kirk Streets, Philadelphia, Pa., 19135, February 25, 1966.
 Kencar Equipment Co., 1906 Lakeview Avenue, Dayton, Ohio, 45408, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Kenworth Motor Truck Co., 8801 East Marginal Way, Seattle, Wash., 98108, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Ledwell & Son, Inc., Post Office Box 1106, Texarkana, Tex., 75501, January 18, 1966.
 Leland Equipment Co., 408 North Main Street, Tulsa, Okla., 74101, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Mack Trucks, Inc., Executive Offices, Box M, Allentown, Pa., 18105, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Jalco Truck Products Co., Inc., 534 Meridian Road, Youngstown, Ohio, 44501, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Jay Madsen Equipment Co., Inc., 120 Wilson Avenue, Bath, N.Y., 14810, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Manning Equipment Co., 3709 Bishop Lane, Louisville, Ky., 40218, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Marion Metal Products, 959 Cheney Avenue, Box 406, Marion, Ohio, 43302, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Marmon Motor Co., Post Office Box 5175, Dallas, Tex., 75222, August 1, 1965.
 Midget Motors Corp., Campbell Street Extension, Athens, Ohio, 45701, January 18, 1965, January 14, 1966.
 Dan Mitchell & Sons, Inc., 34 127th Street, Corona, Long Island, N.Y., 11368, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Moline Body Co., 222 52d Street, Moline, Ill., 61265, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.

Name and address and effective date of determination

Monon Trailer Inc., Monon, Ind., 47959, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Montone Manufacturing Co., Post Office Box P, Hazleton, Pa., 18201, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Motor Coach Industries, Inc., Pembina, N. Dak., 58271, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Nelson Manufacturing Co., Ottawa, Ohio, 45875, January 18, 1966.
 The Ness Co., Inc., 365 West Cottage Place, York, Pa., 17405, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Nye Implement Co., Inc., 250 East Fourth Street, Post Office Box 311, Fostoria, Ohio, 44830, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Ohio Body Manufacturing Co., New London, Ohio, 44851, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Olson Trailer & Body Builders Co., 2740 South Ashland Avenue, Green Bay, Wis., 54306, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Omaha Standard, 2411 West Broadway, Council Bluffs, Iowa, 51504, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Oshkosh Motor Truck, Inc., 2307, Oregon Street, Oshkosh, Wis., 54902, January 18, 1965, January 1, 1966.
 Ottawa Steel Products, Daybrook-Ottawa Corp., Ottawa, Kans., 66067, January 18, 1965, January 17, 1966.
 Outboard Marine Corp., 100 Pershing Road, Waukegan, Ill., 60086, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Palmer Spring Co., 355 Forest Avenue, Portland, Maine, 04101, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Palmer Trailer Sales Co., Inc., 162 Park Street, Palmer, Mass., January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Parsons Division, Koehring Co., Newton, Iowa, 50208, May 28, 1965, April 27, 1966.
 Perfection Equipment Co., 7 South Pennsylvania, Oklahoma City, Okla., 73107, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Perfection Spring & Equipment Co., 1172 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, Mass., 02134, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Perfection Truck Equipment Co., 2550 McGee Trafficway, Kansas City, Mo., 64108, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Peterbilt Motors Co., 38801 Cherry Street, Newark, Calif., 94560, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Polaris Industries, Inc., Roseau, Minn., 56751, August 1, 1965.
 Reliable Spring Co., Inc., 10557 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Ill., 60628, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 S.S. Automobiles, Inc., 161 West Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wis., 53203, May 7, 1965, May 22, 1966.
 Safety Sales & Service Corp., 50-96 South Cameron Street, Harrisburg, Pa., 17105, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Schien Body & Equipment Co., North on University, Carlisle, Ill., 62626, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Scientific Brake & Equipment Co., 314 West Genesee Avenue, Saginaw, Mich., 48601, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Schweigers, Inc., Highway 81 and 212, Watertown, S. Dak., 57201, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Paul Stutler, Inc., 3397 East Waterloo Road, Akron, Ohio, 44312, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Superior Coach Corp., 1200 East Kibby Street, Lima, Ohio, 45802, January 18, 1966.
 D. H. Thomas & Son, Inc., 1005 Lee Street, Rockford, Ill., 61103, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Thumb Truck Equipment, Pigeon, Mich., January 18, 1966.
 Truck Equipment Co., 1911 Southwest Washington Street, Peoria, Ill., 61602, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.

Name and address and effective date of determination

Truck Equipment, Inc., 680 Potts Avenue, Green Bay, Wis., 54306, February 28, 1966.
 Truck & Trailer Equipment Co., 4214 West Mount Hope Road at M-78, Lansing, Mich., 48904, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Walter Motor Truck Co., School Road, Voorheesville, N.Y., January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Ward LaFrance Truck Corp., Grand Central Avenue, Elmira Heights, N.Y., 14902, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Weaver Trailer & Body Co., 1355 West Mound Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43223, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 Weaver Truck Trailer & Body Corp., 2405-15 North Wooster Avenue, Dover, Ohio, 44622, November 5, 1965.
 White Autocar Sales, Inc., 140 Arbor Lane, Green Bay, Wis., 54305, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.
 White Motor Corp., Post Office Box 6979, Cleveland, Ohio, 44114, January 18, 1965, January 18, 1966.

The Administrator will publish from time to time such revisions of this list as may be appropriate to reflect additions, deletions, or other necessary changes in it.

FORREST D. HOCKERSMITH,
 Acting Administrator, Business
 and Defense Services Admin-
 istration.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6133; Filed, June 3, 1966;
 8:45 a.m.]

Maritime Administration

[Report 72]

LIST OF FREE WORLD AND POLISH
 FLAG VESSELS ARRIVING IN CUBA
 SINCE JANUARY 1, 1963

SECTION 1. The Maritime Administration is making available to the appropriate Departments the following list of vessels which have arrived in Cuba since January 1, 1963, based on information received through May 23, 1966, exclusive of those vessels that called at Cuba on United States Government-approved noncommercial voyages and those listed in section 2. Pursuant to established United States Government policy, the listed vessels are ineligible to carry United States Government-financed cargoes from the United States.

FLAG OF REGISTRY

Name of Ship	Gross tonnage
Total, All Flags (255 ships) -	1, 803, 326
British (73 ships) -	545, 604
**Agate (trips to Cuba under ex-name, Dairen-British). **Amalla (now Maltese). **Amazon River (now River—sold to Dutch breakers) - 7, 234 Antarctica - 8, 785 Arctic Ocean - 8, 791 Ardenode - 7, 036 Ardgem - 6, 981 **Ardmore (now Kall Elpis—British) - 4, 664 Ardpatrick - 7, 054 *Ardrossmore - 5, 820 Ardrowan - 7, 300	

*Added to Report No. 71, appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 17, 1966.

**Ships appearing on the list that have been scrapped or have had changes in name and/or flag of registry.

FLAG OF REGISTRY—Continued

Name of Ship	Gross tonnage
British—Continued	
Ardslrod	7,025
Ardara	5,795
**Arlington Court (now Southgate—British).	
Athelcrown (tanker)	11,149
Athelduke (tanker)	9,089
Athelknight (tanker)	9,087
Athelmere (tanker)	7,524
Athelmonarch (tanker)	11,182
**Athelsultan (tanker) broken up	9,149
Avisfaith	7,868
Baxtergate	8,813
Cheung Chau	8,566
**Chipbee (sold for scrap)	7,271
**Cosmo Trader (trips to Cuba under ex-name, Ivy Fair—British).	
**Dairen (now Agate—British)	4,939
**East Breeze (now Phoenixian Dawn—British)	8,708
Eastfortune	8,789
Ellicos	7,134
Formentor	8,424
Fortune Enterprise	7,284
**Free Enterprise (now Cyriot).	
**Free Merchant (now Cyriot).	
**Garthdale (now Jeb Lee—British)	7,542
Grosvenor Mariner	7,026
Hazelmoor	7,907
Helka	2,111
Hemisphere	8,718
Ho Fung	7,121
Inchstaffa	5,255
Inchstuart	7,043
**Ivy Fair (now Cosmo Trader—British—broken up)	7,201
**Jeb Lee (trip to Cuba under ex-name, Garthdale—British).	
Jollity	8,660
**Kall Elpis (trips to Cuba under ex-name, Ardmore—British).	
Kinross	5,388
La Hortensia	9,486
Linkmoor	8,236
Magister	2,339
Nancy Dee	6,597
Nebula	8,924
**Newdene (now Free Navigator—Cyriot).	
**Newforest (now Cyriot).	
Newgate	6,743
Newglade	7,368
**Newgrove (now Cyriot).	
Newheath	7,643
Newhill	7,855
Newlane	7,043
**Newmeadow (now Cyriot).	
Newmoat	7,151
Newmoor	7,168
Nils Amelon	6,281
Oceanramp	6,185
Oceantravel	10,477
Peony	9,037
**Phoenixian Dawn (trips to Cuba under ex-name, East Breeze—British).	
**Redbrook (now E. Evangelia—Greek)	7,388
Ruthy Ann	7,361
**St. Antonio (now Maltese).	
Sandsend	7,236
Santa Granda	7,229
Sea Amber	10,421
Sea Coral	10,421
Sea Empress	8,941
Seasage	4,330
Shienfoon	7,127
**Shun Fung (wrecked)	7,148
**Soclyve (now Maltese).	
**Southgate (previous trips to Cuba under ex-name, Arlington Court—British)	9,662

*Ships appearing on the list that have been scrapped or have had changes in name and/or flag of registry.

FLAG OF REGISTRY—Continued

Name of Ship	Gross tonnage
British—Continued	
Stanwear	8,108
**Suva Breeze (now Cathay Trader—Panamanian)	4,970
**Swift River (now Kallitheia—Cyriot).	
**Timios Stavros (now Maltese flag—previous trips to Cuba—Greek).	
Venice	8,611
Vercharman	7,265
Vermont	7,381
Yungfutary	5,388
Yunglutaton	5,414
Zela M.	7,237
Lebanese (57 ships)	384,507
Agla Sophia	3,106
Aiolos II	7,256
Als Giannis	6,997
**Akamas (now Cyriot).	
Al Amin	7,186
Alaska	6,989
Anthas	7,044
Antonis	6,259
**Ares (constructive total loss)	4,557
Aret	7,176
Aristefs	6,995
Astir	5,324
Athamas	4,729
**Carnation (sold Spanish breakers)	4,884
Claire	5,411
Cris	6,032
Dimos	7,187
**E. Myrtidiotissa (trips to Cuba under ex-name, Kalliope D. Lemos—Lebanese).	
**Free Trader (now Cyriot).	
Georgios M. II	5,028
Giannis	5,270
Giorgos Tsakiroglou	7,240
Granikos	7,282
Ilena	5,925
Ioannis Asplotis	7,297
**Kalliope D. Lemos (now E. Myrtidiotissa—Lebanese)	5,103
Katerina	9,357
Leftric	7,176
Malou	7,145
Mantric	7,255
Maria Despina	7,254
Maria Renee	7,203
Marichristina	7,124
**Marymark (sold German ship breakers)	4,383
Mersinidi	6,782
Mousse	9,307
Nietric	7,296
Noelle	7,251
Noemi	7,070
Olga	7,199
Panagos	7,133
Parmarina	6,721
**Razani (broken up)	7,253
Reneka	7,250
Rio	7,194
St. Anthony	5,349
St. Nicolas	7,165
San George	7,267
**San John (now Ledra—Cyriot).	
San Spyridon	7,260
**Sheik Boutros (trips to Cuba under ex-name, Cavtat—Yugoslav).	
Stevo	7,066
Taxiarhis	7,349
Tertric	7,045
Theodoros Lemos	7,198
Tony	7,176
Toula	6,426
Troyan	7,243
Vassiliki	7,192
Vastric	6,751

FLAG OF REGISTRY—Continued

Name of Ship	Gross tonnage
Lebanese—Continued	
Vergolivada	6,339
Yanxilas	10,051
Greek (36 ships)	268,450
Agios Therapon	5,617
Akastos	7,331
Alice	7,189
**Ambassade (sold Hongkong ship breakers)	8,600
Americana	7,104
Anacreon	7,359
**Anatoli (now Sunrise—Cyriot).	
**Andromachi (previous trips to Cuba under ex-name, Penelope—Greek)	6,712
**Antonia (now Amfithea—Cyriot).	
Apollon	9,744
Athanasios K.	7,216
Barbarino	7,084
Calliope Michalos	7,249
**Embassy (broken up)	8,418
**E. Evangelia (trips to Cuba under ex-name, Redbrook—British).	
Eftychia	10,865
**Flora M. (now Liberian)	7,244
**Gloria (now Helen—Greek).	
**Helen (previous trips to Cuba under ex-name, Gloria—Greek)	7,128
Irena	7,232
Istros II	7,275
Kapetan Kostis	5,032
Kyra Hariklia	6,888
**Maria Theresa (now Ingrid Anne—South African)	7,245
Marigo	7,147
**Maroudio (now Thalle—Panamanian)	7,369
**Mastro-Stellos II (now Wendy H.—South African)	7,282
**Nicolaos P. (previous trip to Cuba under ex-name, Nicolaos Frangistas—Greek)	7,199
**Nicolaos Frangistas (now Nicolaos F.—Greek).	
Nikolis M.	7,176
**Pamit (now Bambero—Liberian)	3,929
Pantanasia	7,131
Paxol	7,144
**Penelope (now Andromachi—Greek).	
**Presvia (broken up)	10,820
Redestos	5,911
Roula Maria (tanker)	10,608
**Selrios (broken up)	7,239
Sophia	7,030
**Styllanos N. Vlassopoulos (now Antonia II—Cyriot)	7,303
**Timios Stavros (formerly British flag now Maltese).	
Tina	7,362
Western Trader	9,268
Polish (18 ships)	136,680
Baltyk	6,963
Bialystok	7,173
Bytom	5,967
Chopin	9,148
Chorzow	7,237
Energetyk	10,843
Huta Florian	7,258
Huta Labedy	7,221
Huta Ostrowiec	7,175
Huta Zgoda	6,840
Hutnik	10,897
Kopalnia Bobrek	7,221
Kopalnia Ozeladz	7,252
Kopalnia Miechowice	7,223
Kopalnia Siemianowice	7,165
Kopalnia Wujek	7,033
Plast	3,184
Transportowlec	10,880

FLAG OF REGISTRY—Continued

Name of Ship	Gross tonnage
Cypriot (19 ships)-----	127,242
Acme-----	7,159
Adelphos Petrakis-----	7,170
**Akamas (previous trips to Cuba—Lebanese)-----	7,285
*Aktor-----	6,993
Alexandros-----	7,245
Amfiail-----	7,110
**Amfithea (previous trip to Cuba under ex-name, Antonia—Greek)-----	5,171
**Antonia II (trip to Cuba under ex-name, Stylianos N. Vlasopoulos—Greek)-----	7,247
Artemida-----	5,949
El Toro-----	6,807
**Free Enterprise (previous trips to Cuba—British)-----	5,237
**Free Merchant (previous trips to Cuba—British)-----	7,181
**Free Navigator (previous trips to Cuba under ex-name, Newdene—British)-----	7,067
**Free Trader (previous trips to Cuba—Lebanese)-----	7,251
**Kallithea (previous trips to Cuba under ex-name, Swift River—British)-----	5,172
**Ledra (previous trips to Cuba under ex-name, San John—Lebanese)-----	7,185
**Newforest (previous trips to Cuba—British)-----	7,172
**Newgrove (previous trips to Cuba—British and Haitian)-----	5,654
**Newmeadow (previous trips to Cuba—British)-----	7,187
**Sunrise (previous trips to Cuba under ex-name, Anatoli—Greek)-----	123,058
Italian (15 ships)-----	6,950
Achille-----	8,380
Agostino Bertani-----	10,440
**Andrea Costa (tanker—broken up)-----	7,154
Aspromonte-----	7,189
Caprera-----	11,377
*Ella (tanker)-----	2,479
**Geremia (previous trips to Cuba under ex-name, Mariasusanna—Italian)-----	17,519
Giuseppe Giulietti (tanker)-----	1,595
**Graziella Zeta (trips to Cuba under ex-name, Montiron—Italian)-----	7,173
Mariasusanna (now Geremia—Italian)-----	8,427
**Montiron (now Graziella Zeta—Italian)-----	9,284
Nazareno-----	12,461
Nino Bixio-----	9,278
San Francesco-----	3,352
San Nicola (tanker)-----	60,800
Santa Lucia-----	7,233
**Somalia (now Chenchang—Nationalist Chinese)-----	7,266
Yugoslav (9 ships)-----	
Bar-----	
**Cavtat (now Sheik Boutros—Lebanese)-----	

*Added to Report No. 71, appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of May 17, 1966.

**Ships appearing on the list that have been scrapped or have had changes in name and/or flag of registry.

FLAG OF REGISTRY—Continued

Name of Ship	Gross tonnage
Yugoslav—Continued	
Cetinje-----	7,200
Dugi Otok-----	6,997
Kolasin-----	7,217
Mojkovac-----	7,125
Plod-----	3,657
Promina-----	6,960
**Trebnjica (wrecked)-----	7,145
French (7 ships)-----	26,817
Arsinoe (tanker, sunk)-----	10,426
Circe-----	2,874
Enee-----	1,232
Foulaya-----	3,739
Mungo-----	4,820
Nelee-----	2,874
**Neve (now Drameoumar—Guinean)-----	852
Moroccan (5 ships)-----	35,828
Atlas-----	10,392
Banora-----	3,082
Marrakech-----	3,214
Mauritanie-----	10,392
Toubkal-----	8,748
Maltese (5 ships)-----	33,788
**Amalia (previous trips to Cuba—British)-----	7,304
Ispahan-----	7,156
*St. Antonio (previous trip to Cuba—British)-----	6,704
**Soclyve (previous trips to Cuba—British)-----	7,291
**Timios Stavros (previous trips to Cuba—British and Greek)-----	5,333
Finnish (4 ships)-----	32,919
Augusta Paulin-----	7,096
**Hermia (trip to Cuba under ex-name, Amfred—Swedish)-----	7,251
Margrethe Paulin-----	6,823
Ragni Paulin-----	11,749
Sword (tanker)-----	999
Netherlands (2 ships)-----	500
Meike-----	499
Tempo-----	10,002
Norwegian (2 ships)-----	5,252
Ole Bratt-----	4,750
**Tine (now Jezreel—Panamanian flag—wrecked)-----	9,318
Swedish (2 ships)-----	2,828
**Amfred (now Hermia—Finnish)-----	6,490
**Dagmar (now Ball Mariner—Panamanian)-----	7,314
Monaco (1 ship)-----	7,314
Saint Lys-----	
Guinean:-----	
**Drameoumar (trip to Cuba under ex-name, Neve—French)-----	
Haitian:-----	
**Newgrove (now Cypriot)-----	
Liberian:-----	
**Bambero (trips to Cuba under ex-name, Pamit—Greek)-----	
**Flora M. (trips to Cuba—Greek)-----	
Nationalist Chinese:-----	
**Chenchang (trip to Cuba under ex-name, Somalia—Italian)-----	

FLAG OF REGISTRY—Continued

Name of Ship	Gross tonnage
Panamanian:-----	
**Bali Mariner (trips to Cuba under ex-name, Dagmar—Swedish)-----	
**Jezreel (trip to Cuba under ex-name, Tine—Norwegian—wrecked)-----	
**Cathay Trader (trips to Cuba under ex-name, Suva Breeze—British)-----	
**Thalie (trip to Cuba under ex-name, Maroudio—Greek)-----	
South African:-----	
**Wendy H. (trip to Cuba under ex-name, Mastro-Stellos II—Greek)-----	
**Ingrid Anne (trip to Cuba under ex-name, Maria Theresa—Greek)-----	

SEC. 2. In accordance with approved procedures, the vessels listed below which called at Cuba after January 1, 1963, have reacquired eligibility to carry U.S. Government-financed cargoes from the United States by virtue of the persons who control the vessels having given satisfactory certification and assurance:

(a) That such vessels will not, thenceforth, be employed in the Cuba trade so long as it remains the policy of the U.S. Government to discourage such trade; and

(b) That no other vessels under their control will thenceforth be employed in the Cuba trade, except as provided in paragraph (c) and

(c) That vessels under their control which are covered by contractual obligations, including charters, entered into prior to December 16, 1963, requiring their employment in the Cuba trade shall be withdrawn from such trade at the earliest opportunity consistent with such contractual obligations.

FLAG OF REGISTRY

- a. Since last report: None.
b. Previous reports:

Flag of registry (total)	Number of ships
91	
British-----	39
Danish-----	1
Finnish-----	2
French-----	1
German (West)-----	1
Greek-----	25
Israeli-----	1
Italian-----	5
Japanese-----	1
Kuwaiti-----	1
Lebanese-----	3
Norwegian-----	4
Spanish-----	6
Swedish-----	1

SEC. 3. The ships listed in sections 1 and 2 have made the following number of trips to Cuba since January 1, 1963, based on information received through May 23, 1966.

Flag of registry	Number of trips								Total
	1963	1964	1965	1966					
				Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	
British	133	180	126	5	11	11	11	3	480
Lebanese	64	91	58	3	3	4	5		228
Greek	99	27	23	3	2	2	3		159
Italian	16	20	24	2			2	1	65
Yugoslav	12	11	15		2	1	2		43
Cypriot		1	17	1	6	4	2	1	32
French	8	9	9						26
Spanish	8	17							25
Norwegian	14	10							24
Moroccan	9	13	1						23
Finnish	1	4	5	1	2		2	1	19
Maltese		2	6	1					9
Netherlands		4	2						6
Swedish	3	3	1						6
Kuwaiti		2	1						3
Israeli			2						2
Danish	1								1
German (West)	1								1
Haitian			1						1
Japanese	1								1
Monaco					1				1
Subtotal	370	394	290	16	27	22	27	6	1,152
Polish	18	16	12		2	1	1		50
Grand total	388	410	302	16	29	23	28	6	1,202

NOTE: Trip totals in this section exceed ship totals in secs. 1 and 2 because some of the ships made more than one trip to Cuba. Monthly totals subject to revision as additional data become available.

Dated: May 25, 1966.

By order of the Deputy Maritime Administrator.

JAMES S. DAWSON, Jr.,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6168; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 17372; Order E-23752]

CORDOVA AIRLINES, INC.

Order of Investigation and Suspension Regarding Proposed Fare Increases

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 31st day of May 1966.

By tariff filing,¹ marked to become effective June 1, 1966, Cordova Airlines, Inc. (Cordova), proposes 22 fare increases and 4 fare decreases between points² on the northern portion of its route system. The fare increases range from \$2 to \$17 while the decreases range from \$1 to \$5.

In support of its proposal, Cordova states only that the fare adjustments are necessary to meet the costs of its short haul, small plane, low volume operations.

No complaints have been filed against the proposal.

Many of the proposed increases are substantial both relatively and in dollar amount. Cordova's operations have required Federal subsidy support³ in the past and the carrier's latest financial reports indicate a continued subsidy requirement. While Cordova may require

additional revenue, increases of the magnitude proposed in many instances appear to be unreasonable and have not been adequately supported by appropriate economic data in compliance with § 221.165 of the Board's Economic Regulations. Upon consideration of all relevant facts, the Board finds that the proposed increased fares set forth below may be unjust or unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, or unduly prejudicial, and should be investigated; and that the proposed fare decreases should be permitted to become effective. The Board would be willing to consider more reasonable fare increases, however, if such a proposal were supported by a comprehensive statement of justification in terms of traffic, cost of service, and other appropriate economic data.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a), 403, 404, and 1002 thereof:

It is ordered, That:

1. An investigation be instituted to determine whether the fares and provisions described in Appendix A attached hereto,⁴ and rules, regulations, and practices affecting such fares and provisions, are or will be unjust or unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory, unduly preferential, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful, and if found to be unlawful, to determine and prescribe the lawful fares and provisions, and rules,

⁴ Appendix A filed as part of original document.

regulations, or practices affecting such fares and provisions;

2. Pending hearing and decision by the Board, the fares and provisions described in Appendix A hereto are suspended and their use deferred to and including August 29, 1966, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission of the Board;

3. This investigation be assigned for hearing before an examiner of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated; and

4. A copy of this order be filed with the aforesaid tariff and be served upon Cordova Airlines, Inc.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.⁵

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6165; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

[Docket No. 15381; Order E-23753]

LATIN AMERICAN SERVICE MAIL RATE PROCEEDING

Order Fixing Final Service Mail Rates

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 31st day of May 1966.

On May 5, 1966, the Board adopted a Statement of Provisional Findings and Conclusions (Statement) and Order to Show Cause (E-23642) proposing new final service mail rates for the Latin American services of Braniff Airways, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., Pan American-Grace Airways, Inc., and Pan American World Airways, Inc. Certain technical changes in the rate order (E-22512, Aug. 6, 1965) establishing service mail rates for domestic services were also proposed. All interested parties were directed to show cause within 10 days of the date of the order to show cause why the Board should not adopt the provisional findings and conclusions, fix, determine and publish the final rates specified in the Statement as the fair and reasonable rates of compensation to be paid for the carriage of mail over the routes specified, and amend Order E-22512 in the manner proposed in the Statement.

The time allowed for filing notices of objection has expired without objection being filed. All parties have therefore waived their right to a hearing and all other procedural steps short of a final decision by the Board.

The Board, upon consideration of the record, has determined that it should reaffirm and make final the findings set forth in the aforementioned Statement.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a) and 406 thereof,

It is ordered, That:

⁵ Dissenting statement of members Gilliland and Adams filed as part of original document.

1. Order E-22512, August 6, 1965, is hereby amended as follows:

(a) On page 5, line 3, the following language should be inserted between "June 19, 1965," and "are set forth below."

and over their routes between points within the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia, on the one hand, and the Virgin Islands and Acapulco, Mexico, on the other hand, in effect on or after May 31, 1966;

(b) On page 7 under "4. Equalization of Rates" paragraph (a) line 3, insert the words "Latin American," between the words "including" and "transatlantic";

(c) At the end of footnote 2 on page 7 add the following:

Such offices for the Latin American area are currently located in Chicago, Houston, Los Angeles, Miami, New Orleans, New York, Washington, D.C., San Juan, P.R., and Charlotte Amalie, Frederiksted, and Christiansted, V.I.;

(d) On page 8 line 2 of the first paragraph under (c) insert the words "Latin American," between the words "including" and "transatlantic";

(e) On page 8 line 2 of paragraph (d) insert the words "Latin American," between the words "including" and "transatlantic."

2. The fair and reasonable rates of compensation to be paid the carriers named below by the Postmaster General, pursuant to the provisions of section 406 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, for the transportation of mail by aircraft over their respective routes as described below, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith, are as follows:

(a) For the period July 1, 1964, through February 28, 1966, a rate of 55.10 cents per ton-mile for the Latin American services of Pan American World Airways, Inc., other than those between the continental United States, on the one hand, and San Juan, P.R., and Mexico City, Mexico, on the other; a rate of 55.10 cents per ton-mile for the services of Braniff International Airways, Inc., between the continental United States, on the one hand, and the Canal Zone, on the other; a rate of 55.10 cents per ton-mile for all services of Mackey Airlines, Inc.; a rate of 65.30 cents per ton-mile for all of the Latin American services of Braniff International Airways, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., and Pan American-Grace Airways, Inc., other than those between the continental United States, on the one hand, and San Juan, P.R., Mexico City and the Canal Zone, on the other. Such rates shall be applied in accordance with the terms and conditions stated in Order E-9695, October 27, 1955.

(b) For the period on and after March 1, 1966, a rate of 42.09 cents per ton-mile for the Latin American services of Braniff International Airways, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., Pan American World Airways, Inc., Pan American-Grace Airways, Inc., and Mackey Airlines, Inc., other than those between the continental United States, on the one hand, and San Juan, P.R., the Virgin Islands, and Mexico City, Monterrey, and Acapulco, Mexico, on the other. This rate shall be ap-

plied in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth below.

Mail ton-miles. The mail ton-miles for each shipment of mail shall be based upon the standard mileage established herein for service between the points of origin and destination of each shipment.¹

Standard mileage. The standard mileage for each pair of points shall be as set forth in Appendix B to this Order.²

Changes in standard mileage. The standard mileages set forth in Appendix B to this Order shall remain in effect throughout the period this rate order is in effect: *Provided, however,* That at any time the Board may institute a proceeding, and any carrier subject to this order and/or the Postmaster General, may make application to the Board for establishment of standard mileages to a new point: *And provided further, however,* That once each fiscal year the Board may institute a proceeding and any carrier subject to this order and/or the Postmaster General may make application to the Board for revision of any standard mileage effective July 1 of such fiscal year. Such applications will not be regarded as reopening the rate. Applications provided for above shall be clearly entitled "Application for (New) (Revised) Standard Mileage," shall contain a clear and concise statement of the requested standard mileage or standard mileage revision and the facts upon which such request is based, and shall in all other respects conform to the applicable requirements of the rules of practice.

In establishing standard mileages to a new point, the Board will consider the routings of flights to such point and the number of flights required by the postal service. In establishing revised standard mileages, the Board will consider the effect of changes in airport location, mail flow, and flight routings reflected in the carriers' general schedules during the first seven days of the month immediately preceding the July 1 effective date of such revision.

Origin and destination of mail shipments. As used herein "point of origin" means the point at which the carrier first enplanes the mail shipment after receipt thereof from a Postal Administration or its representatives, from another ratemaking division of the same carrier, the operations of which division are not encompassed herein, or from another carrier; and "point of destination" means the point at which the carrier deplanes the mail shipment for delivery to a Postal Administration or its representatives, to a separate ratemaking division of the same carrier, the operations of which

¹ No tabulation of standard mileages is being attached to this Order when initially issued. The standard mileages now being used to compute service mail pay, which are known to both the Department and all affected carriers, will continue to be used until the end of fiscal year 1966. An Appendix establishing new standard mileages to apply during fiscal year 1967 will be published by July 1, 1966.

² See footnote 1 above.

division are not encompassed herein, or to another carrier.

Equalization of rates—Election to equalize. Any air carrier, or, pursuant to agreement, any two or more air carriers providing service on an interline or interchange basis, may, by notice, elect to establish a reduced charge for the carriage of mail between:

(a) Any point where a U.S. Post Office Department international exchange office is located³ and any other point to which such international exchange office is authorized to dispatch air mail, or

(b) Foreign points, equal to the charge then in effect for service between such points by any other air carrier or air carriers.⁴

Notice of election to equalize rate. An original and three copies of each notice of election and agreement to equalize shall be filed with the Board and a copy thereof shall be served upon the Postmaster General and each carrier providing on-line or connecting service between the stated points. Such notices shall contain a complete description of the reduced charge being established, the routing over which it applies and how it is constructed and shall similarly describe the charge being equalized with.

Any equalized rate established pursuant to this order shall be effective for the electing carrier or carriers as of the date of filing of the notice or such later date as may be specified in the notice, until such election is terminated. Elections may be terminated by any electing carrier upon 10 days' notice filed with the Board and served upon the Postmaster General and each carrier providing on-line or connecting service between the stated points.

Division of equalized rates. In case of equalization of rates by agreement, the agreement shall provide for the proration of the mail compensation between participating carriers on the basis of the relative compensation which would otherwise be payable to each carrier in the absence of such an equalization. In the absence of an agreement among carriers for equalization of rates for interline or interchange shipments between a stated pair of points, any carrier (or two or more carriers jointly) may, by notice,

³ Such offices for Latin America are currently located at Chicago, Houston, Los Angeles, Miami, New Orleans, New York, Washington, D.C., San Juan, P.R., and Charlotte Amalie, Frederiksted, and Christiansted, V.I. The terms of this paragraph shall apply to points at which international exchange offices are hereafter established and shall cease to apply to any points at which international exchange offices are discontinued. The Postmaster General will file a notice of such new and discontinued offices in this docket and serve a copy on each carrier subject to this order.

⁴ The domestic multielement service mail rate is being amended herein to permit the air carriers covered by that rate to participate with carriers covered by this order in rate equalizations with respect to mail shipments transported between certain U.S. points and other points subject to the provisions of both the domestic and international service rates.

elect to receive as its portion of the total compensation for each shipment the amount remaining after subtracting from such total compensation the compensation due the other carrier or carriers involved (nonelecting carriers). Such total compensation shall be computed on the basis of the lowest rate then in effect for service between the stated pair of points for any carrier or carriers. The compensation due the nonelecting carrier or carriers shall be that otherwise applicable to the point-to-point service it actually provides. In those instances where there is a nonelecting carrier or carriers involved in providing the through service and two or more carriers elect to receive payment under this provision, the total payment due such electing carriers shall be prorated by them on the basis of the relative compensation which would otherwise be payable to each of them in the absence of the provisions of this paragraph.

Divisions of equalized rates prescribed by the Board. In the event that any carrier is unable to enter into an agreement with any other carrier to transport mail between any stated points at a reduced rate it may file an application with the Board requesting it to determine and fix a different method of apportioning the total compensation for each such shipment of mail between the participating carriers. Such applications shall not be deemed to reopen the mail rates fixed by this order. An original and 19 copies of such an application shall be filed. Applications filed pursuant to this paragraph shall conform generally to the provisions of the rules of practice governing the filing of petitions in mail rate cases. Within 7 days after the application is served, any party may file an answer in support of or in opposition to the application, together with any documentary material upon which it relies. Any order upon application filed pursuant to this paragraph shall be effective no earlier than the date of filing of the application with the Board.

In reviewing such application, the Board will consider, among other pertinent factors, the need for the proposed service, the historical participation of electing carrier or carriers in the transportation of mail between such stated points, the amount of absorption required, and the grounds for refusal by the carrier or carriers to enter into an equalization agreement. After hearing the carriers concerned, either orally or in writing, in those cases where it deems such action appropriate the Board will by order prescribe the method for apportioning the total compensation between such carriers, but in no event shall the carrier or carriers which refuse to enter into an agreement to equalize compensation be required to accept less than the compensation which would have been payable if the services were performed under voluntary equalization agreement.

The compensation provided herein shall be in lieu of, and not in addition to, the service mail compensation heretofore received by each carrier for mail transported on and after July 1, 1964.

The foregoing rates do not apply to the transportation of first-class and other preferential mail (other than air mail and air parcel post) for which a separate rate has been, or hereafter may be, established.

3. The petition of the Postmaster General in Docket 15381 is hereby dismissed and the proceeding therein terminated.

4. This order will be served upon American Airlines, Inc., Continental Air Lines, Inc., Eastern Air Lines, Inc., National Airlines, Inc., Northeast Airlines, Inc., United Air Lines, Inc., Western Air Lines, Inc., Airlift International, Inc., The Flying Tiger Line, Inc., The Slick Corp., Allegheny Airlines, Inc., Bonanza Air Lines, Inc., Central Airlines, Inc., Frontier Airlines, Inc., Lake Central Airlines, Inc., Mohawk Airlines, Inc., North Central Airlines, Inc., Ozark Air Lines, Inc., Pacific Air Lines, Inc., Piedmont Aviation, Inc., Southern Airways, Inc., Trans-Texas Airways, Inc., West Coast Airlines, Inc., Braniff Airways, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., Northwest Airlines, Inc., Pan American-Grace Airways, Inc., Pan American World Airways, Inc., Trans World Airlines, Inc., and the Postmaster General.

This order will be published in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] HAROLD R. SANDERSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6166; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

LAKE SHIPPING CO., ET AL.

Notice of Agreements Filed for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following freight forwarder cooperative working agreements have been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreements at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1321 H Street NW., Room 609. Comments with reference to an agreement including a request for hearing, if desired, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., 20573, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**. A copy of any such statement or request for a hearing should also be forwarded to each of the parties to the agreement (as indicated hereinafter), and the comments should indicate that this has been done.

Unless otherwise indicated, these agreements are nonexclusive, cooperative working agreements under which the parties may perform freight forwarding services for each other. Forwarding and service fees are to be divided as agreed between the parties.

Lake Shipping Co., Lake Charles, La., and Schenkers International Forwarders, Inc., New York, N.Y. FF-3018
Fillette Green & Co. of Tampa, Tampa, Fla., and Pitt & Scott Corp., New York, N.Y. FF-3019
Francesco Parisi, Inc., New York, N.Y., and W. M. Stone & Co., Inc., Norfolk, Va. FF-3020
H. E. Schurig & Co., Inc., Houston, Tex. (other ports), and F. J. Herbelin Forwarding Co., Inc., Houston, Tex. (other ports) FF-3021
Al. G. Wichterich Co., New Orleans, La., and J. R. Michels, Inc., Houston, Tex. FF-3022

Agreement No. FF-3023 between Thomas E. Flynn & Co., Miami, Fla., and Regal Shipping Corp., New York, N.Y., is a cooperative working arrangement whereunder forwarding and service fees are to be negotiated per shipment. Ocean freight compensation is 50 percent of the brokerage. This division of brokerage will be restricted to those shipments handled on behalf of each other.

Agreement No. FF-3024 between C. S. Greene & Co., Inc., Chicago, Ill., and Worlex Corp., Chicago, Ill., is a cooperative working arrangement whereunder forwarding and service fees are \$2.50 for preparation of shipper's export declaration; \$7.50 handling per shipment; if consular invoice required, an additional \$2.50. Special services remain subject to negotiation and agreement on each transaction. Ocean freight brokerage to be divided on the basis of fifty percent (50%) to Worlex Corp. and fifty percent (50%) to C. S. Greene & Co., Inc.

Dated: May 31, 1966.

THOMAS LIST,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6164; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. G-19542, etc.]

AN-SON CORP., ET AL.

Notice of Applications for Certificates, Abandonment of Service and Petitions To Amend Certificates¹

MAY 25, 1966.

Take notice that each of the Applicants listed herein has filed an application or petition pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to sell natural gas in interstate commerce or to abandon service heretofore authorized as described herein, all as more fully described in the respective applications and amendments which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before June 17, 1966.

¹ This notice does not provide for consolidation for hearing of the several matters covered herein, nor should it be so construed.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on all applications in which no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter believes that a grant of the certificates or the authorization for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. Where a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or where the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given: *Provided, however*, That pursuant to § 2.56, Part 2, Statement of General Policy and Interpretations, Chapter I of Title 18 of the

Code of Federal Regulations, as amended, all permanent certificates of public convenience and necessity granting applications, filed after April 15, 1965, without further notice, will contain a condition precluding any filing of an increased rate at a price in excess of that designated for the particular area of production for the period prescribed therein unless at the time of filing such certificate application, or within the time fixed herein for the filing of protests or petitions to intervene the Applicant indicates in writing that it is unwilling to accept such a condition. In the event Applicant is unwilling to accept such condition the application will be set for formal hearing.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIE,
Secretary.

Docket No. and date filed	Applicant	Purchaser, field, and location	Price per Mcf	Pres- sure base
G-19542 C 5-16-66	Anso Corp., 3814 North Santa Fe, Oklahoma City, Okla., 73118	Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co., Laverne Field, Harper County, Okla.	117.0	14.65
O160-738 C 5-16-66	Asphalt Oil & Refining Co., Post Office Box 1603, Houston, Tex., 77001	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., acreage in Woods County, Okla.	15.0	14.65
O160-738 C 5-16-66	do.	do.	17.0	14.65
O161-516 C 5-16-66	Pan American Petroleum Corp. (Operator), et al., Post Office Box 691, Tulsa, Okla., 74102	Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co., Woodward Gas Area, Woodward and Major Counties, Okla.	18.0 34.16.0	14.65 14.65
O163-20 D 5-19-66	Humble Oil & Refining Co., Post Office Box 2180, Houston, Tex., 77001	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., Arkoma Area, Pittsburg County, Okla.	Assigned	-----
O163-40 E 5-4-66	Coastal States Gas Producing Co. (successor to Pease Petroleum Co.), Post Office Drawer 621, Corpus Christi, Tex., 78403	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., North-west Beaver Field, Grady County, Okla.	12.0	14.65
O164-932 D 5-16-66	Worldwide Petroleum Corp., 4150 East Mexico Ave., Denver, Colo., 80222 (Partial Abandonment).	Lake Shore Pipe Line Co., Lexington Field, Erie County, Pa.	(¹)	-----
O164-1359 D 5-16-66	do.	Lake Shore Pipe Line Co., Bushnell Field, Erie County, Pa.	(¹)	-----
O165-298 C 5-19-66	Cuttychamp Oil & Gas Corp., 1313 Circle Dr., Abilene, Tex.	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., Wilburton Field, Latimer County, Okla.	15.0	14.65
O165-305 C 5-19-66	Va Roy Hildreth, et al., d.b.a. Hildreth & Reed, et al., c/o Paul F. Starr, agent, Box 25, Spencer, W. Va., 25276	Consolidated Gas Supply Corp., Spencer District, Boone County, W. Va.	25.0	15.325
O165-796 D 5-16-66	Worldwide Petroleum Corp. (Partial Abandonment).	Lake Shore Pipe Line Co., Bushnell Field, Erie County, Pa.	(¹)	-----

Filing code: A—Initial service.
B—Abandonment.
C—Amendment to add acreage.
D—Amendment to delete acreage.
E—Succession.
F—Partial succession.

See footnotes at end of table.

NOTICES

7985

Docket No. and date filed	Applicant	Purchaser, field, and location	Price per Mcf	Pres- sure base
O165-997 C 5-16-66	Continental Oil Co., Post Office Box 2197, Houston, Tex., 77001	El Paso Natural Gas Co., acreage in Rio Arriba County, N. Mex.	13.0	15.025
O166-129 C 5-16-66	Joseph E. Seagram & Sons, Inc., d.b.a. Texas Pacific Oil Co., Post Office Box 747, Dallas, Tex., 75221	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Basin Dakota Field, San Juan County, N. Mex.	13.0	15.025
O166-387 C 5-18-66	Glover & Heiner Petroleum Management Corp., 1010 Kermac Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla., 73102	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Panhandle East Field, Collingsworth County, Tex.	13.0	14.65
O166-885 A 3-9-66	Alma Beaman Anderson, % W. H. Drushel, Jr., attorney, Vinson, Elkins, Weems & Searls, 2100 First City National Bank Bldg., Houston, Tex., 77002	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Blanco Mesa Verde Field, San Juan County, N. Mex.	\$12.0	15.025
O166-888 A 3-9-66	R. E. Beaman Estate, % W. H. Drushel, Jr., attorney, Vinson, Elkins, Weems & Searls, 2100 First City National Bank Bldg., Houston, Tex., 77002	do.	\$12.0	15.025
O166-899 (G-13633) C 4-16-66 C 5-16-66	Larco Drilling Co., Post Office Box 2599, Jackson, Miss., 39207	United Gas Pipe Line Co., Maxie-Pistol Ridge Field, Forrest County, Miss.	\$20.0	15.025
O166-912 C 5-16-66	Banquette Gas Co., a division of Crestmont Oil & Gas Co., 2622 Mission St., San Marino, Calif., 91108	United Gas Pipe Line Co., Odem and Taft Fields, San Patricio County, Tex.	15.0	14.65
O166-1108 B 5-12-66 A 5-9-66	C. M. Beckett, Wood Bldg., Marshall, Tex., 75670	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., East Haynesville Field, Claiborne Parish, La.	Uneconomical	-----
O166-1110 A 5-9-66	Wolpin Oil & Gas Co., % Virgil Stanley, agent, 214 North Blvd., West, Huntington, W. Va., 25701	Carnegie Natural Gas Co., Coy Overfield Farm, Ritchie County, W. Va.	20.0	15.325
O166-1111 A 5-12-66	F. Flanagan, et al., % Leo M. Ryan, Esq., Star Route, Lewis Run, Pa., 16738	Pennsylvania Gas Co., Hamilton Township, Mckean County, Pa.	25.0	14.73
O166-1112 A 5-12-66	Perry R. Bass, Inc. (Operator), et al., 1210 Fort Worth National Bank Bldg., Fort Worth, Tex., 76102	Banquette Gas Co., a division of Crestmont Oil & Gas Co., acreage in Wharton County, Tex.	13.0	14.65
O166-1113 A 5-12-66	Ferrell L. Prior, et al., Box 1489, Parkersburg, W. Va., 26101	United Fuel Gas Co., Ravenswood District, Jackson County, W. Va.	25.0	15.325
O166-1114 A 5-12-66	E. L. Force (Operator), et al., 3700 First National Bank Bldg., Dallas, Tex., 75202	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., Berryman Field, Morton County, Kans.	12.0	14.65
O166-1115 A 5-11-66	Delta Corp., 801 First National Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla., 73102	Cities Service Gas Co., Wakita Field, Grant County, Okla.	14.0	14.65
O166-1116 A 5-11-66	do.	do.	17.0	14.65
O166-1117 B 5-6-66	Benedum-Trees Oil Co. (Operator), et al., Benedum-Trees Bldg., Pittsburgh, Pa., 15222	Northern Natural Gas Co., Dover Field, Beaver County, Okla.	Depleted	-----
O166-1118 A 5-13-66	Sherman P. Oakes and Garold M. Oakes, Rural Delivery No. 1, Kane, Pa., 16735	Texas Gas Transmission Corp., South Lake Arthur Field, Jefferson Davis Parish, La.	27.0	15.025
O166-1119 A 5-13-66	Dave Morgan, Post Office Box 820, Blackwell, Okla., 74631	Pennsylvania Gas Co., Sheffield Township, Warren County, Pa.	12.0	14.65
O166-1120 A 5-12-66	W. B. Osborn, Jr. (Operator), et al., Post Office Box 6767, Texaco Inc., Post Office Box 53382, Houston, Tex., 77052	Cities Service Gas Co., North Ostot Extension, Kay County, Okla.	14.0	14.65
O166-1122 A 5-16-66	do.	United Gas Pipe Line Co., Henry Elis No. 1 Well, Gollis County, Tex.	15.0	14.65
O166-1123 A 5-16-66	do.	Texas Eastern Transmission Corp., South Bird Island Field, Kleberg County, Tex.	16.0	14.65
O166-1123 A 5-16-66	do.	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co., ⁸ Alte Hunde Field, Zapata County, Tex.	16.0	14.65

[Docket No. CP66-377]

NATURAL GAS PIPELINE COMPANY OF AMERICA

Notice of Application

MAY 27, 1966.

Take notice that on May 18, 1966, Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Applicant), 122 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Ill., 60603, filed in Docket No. CP66-377 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of a measuring station and approximately 8.57 miles of 30-inch pipeline extending from its proposed 36-inch Dyer Road pipeline, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to construct and operate the facilities to extend from its proposed 36-inch Dyer Road pipeline, which is a subject of Applicant's application filed December 1, 1965, in Docket No. CP66-169, in order to provide a new delivery point for an existing customer, Northern Indiana Public Service Co. (Northern Indiana). The new delivery point will be located at the Illinois-Indiana State line in the northeast corner of Kankakee County, Ill., approximately 17 miles south of existing points of delivery to Northern Indiana.

Applicant states that Northern Indiana has advised it that if increases in delivery volumes of natural gas as proposed in Docket No. CP66-169 of 68,587 Mcf per peak day are delivered at existing delivery points at Hammond and Munster, Ind., via Chicago District Pipeline Co., then Northern Indiana will be required to construct a larger diameter high pressure pipeline through the congested metropolitan area of Hammond and Gary, Ind. The application states that in addition to the expense of such a project, further concentration in this single area of large volume deliveries to Northern Indiana is a threat to continuity of service. Applicant states that there would be a serious interruption or curtailment to Northern Indiana's customers in the Gary-Hammond area in the event of operational loss of any of Northern Indiana's facilities or those of Chicago District Pipeline Co. used for delivery to Northern Indiana.

The estimated cost of construction of the facilities for the new delivery point is \$1,178,816, which will be met from funds on hand.

The delivery to Northern Indiana at the new point of delivery will be made pursuant to Applicant's proposed Rate Schedule F-1, which provides for a charge to Northern Indiana for the facilities to be constructed.

No increase in peak day delivery quantity to Northern Indiana is proposed.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission.

Docket No. and date filed	Applicant	Purchaser, field and location	Price per Mcf	Pressure base
CI66-1124 A 5-12-66	Northern Pump Co. (Operator), et al., Post Office Box 7277, Camden Station, Minneapolis, Minn., 55412.	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co., ¹ North Weslaco Field, Hidalgo County, Tex.	19.24347	14.65
CI66-1125 B 5-12-66	Sun Oil Co. (Mid-Continent Division), 1608 Walnut St., Philadelphia, Pa., 19103.	Colorado Interstate Gas Co., Kansas-Adams Ranch Field, Meade County, Kans.	Depleted	-----
CI66-1126 A 5-13-66	Petroleum, Inc., Garvey Bldg., Wichita, Kans., 67202.	Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co., Inc., Bradshaw Gas Field, Hamilton County, Kans.	12.5	14.65
CI66-1127 B 5-16-66	Dixon Management Corp., 1810 Bank of the Southwest Bldg., Houston, Tex., 77002.	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co., ² Twin Basin Field, Wharton County, Tex.	Depleted	-----
CI66-1128 A 5-16-66	Sinclair Oil & Gas Co., et al., Post Office Box 521, Tulsa, Okla., 74102.	Cities Service Gas Co., South Bishop Field, Roger Mills County, Okla.	15.0	14.65
CI66-1129 A 5-18-66	W. J. Fellers (Operator), et al., c/o Jerry F. Lyons, Esquire, Post Office Box 550, Amarillo, Tex., 79105.	Northern Natural Gas Co., North Lemon and Victory Field, Haskell County, Kans.	14.0	14.65
CI66-1130 A 5-18-66	Sun Oil Co. (Gulf Coast Division), 1608 Walnut St., Philadelphia, Pa., 19103.	United Gas Pipe Line Co., West Belle Isle Area, St. Mary Parish, La.	20.625	15.025
CI66-1131 A 5-18-66	Skelly Oil Co., Post Office Box 1650, Tulsa, Okla., 74102.	Tennessee Gas Transmission Co., ³ Aite Hunde Field, Zapata County, Tex.	16.0	14.65
CI66-1132 B 5-12-66	Southdown, Inc. (Operator), et al., 1221 Commerce Bldg., New Orleans, La., 70112.	Texas Gas Transmission Corp., North Cankton Field, St. Landry Parish, La.	Uneconomical	-----
CI66-1134 B 5-13-66	D. R. Lusk Oil Co., Inc., et al., 301 South Broadway, Wichita, Kans., 67202.	Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Co., Inc., Hugoton Gas Field, Finney County, Kans.	Uneconomical	-----
CI66-1135 B 5-5-66	Alvin Johnson, 1702 Beck Bldg., Shreveport, La., 71102.	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., Longwood Field, Caddo Parish, La. and Harrison County, Tex.	Depleted	-----

¹ Subject to upward and downward B.t.u. adjustment.² Acreage in Woodward County.³ Acreage in Major County.⁴ Includes 1.0 cent per Mcf upward B.t.u. adjustment.⁵ Purchaser has not connected well to pipeline and no gas has been sold into interstate commerce.⁶ Includes 1.0 cent per Mcf minimum guarantee for liquids.⁷ Adds acreage acquired from Union Producing Co., Docket No. G-13633.⁸ Settlement rate as approved by Commission order issued Dec. 23, 1964, in Docket Nos. G-13811 et al.⁹ Now Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a division of Tenneco, Inc.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6076; Filed, June 3, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-8932]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Petition To Amend

MAY 27, 1966.

Take notice that on May 19, 1966, El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Petitioner), Post Office Box 1492, El Paso, Tex., 79999, filed in Docket No. G-8932 a petition to amend the order issued in said docket on November 25, 1955, and heretofore amended on October 26, 1956, and December 10, 1965, by authorizing limited-term importation of 100,000 Mcf (at 14.9 p.s.i.a.) of natural gas per day, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

By simultaneous filings in the instant docket and in Docket No. CP66-315 on April 5, 1966, Petitioner set forth a program to build facilities and increase its importation of natural gas purchased from Westcoast Transmission Co., Ltd. (Westcoast), and imported at the International Boundary near Sumas, Wash. (31 F.R. 5918). The proposal in those filings contemplates facilities to accommodate import volumes for which authorization is sought in Docket No. G-8932, pursuant to section 3 of the Natural Gas Act, of 500,000 Mcf of natural gas per day.

Petitioner states that additional capacity and the availability of additional

quantities of gas are necessary to provide the additional firm service which has been requested and contracted by its distributor customers. Petitioner is seeking a temporary certificate in the proceedings in Docket No. CP66-315 to authorize construction and operation of the first phase of the facilities.

By the instant filing, Petitioner requests authorization to import the first increment of 100,000 Mcf of natural gas per day available under the Second Service Agreement between Petitioner and Westcoast. The proposed importation would be for the term commencing November 1, 1966, and extending through October 31, 1967, or until authorization of the import volumes sought by the filing of April 5, 1966 (dated April 1, 1966), and authorization of the construction sought by Petitioner's application filed April 5, 1966, in Docket No. CP66-315, whichever first occurs.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before June 24, 1966.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.[F.R. Doc. 66-6134; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:45 a.m.]

sion, Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (157.10) on or before June 24, 1966.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6135; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP66-378]

NATURAL GAS PIPELINE COMPANY OF AMERICA

Notice of Application

MAY 27, 1966.

Take notice that on May 18, 1966, Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Applicant), 122 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Ill., 60603, filed an application in Docket No. CP66-378 pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale and delivery of an additional daily contract quantity of 1,500 Mcf of natural gas to Wisconsin Southern Gas Co. (Wisconsin Southern), an existing customer, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that Wisconsin Southern has requested an additional daily contract quantity of 1,500 Mcf of natural gas commencing December 1, 1966, to meet the firm requirements of the markets which it serves in Wisconsin.

No additional facilities will be required to make the proposed sale and delivery.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (157.10) on or before June 24, 1966.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further

notice before the Commission on this application if no protest or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6136; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. E-7293]

NORTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE CO.

Notice of Application

MAY 27, 1966.

Take notice that on May 19, 1966, the Northwestern Public Service Co. (Applicant), filed an application with the Federal Power Commission seeking an order pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act authorizing it to issue \$3.5 million principal amount of 30-year First Mortgage Bonds.

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and is qualified to do business in the States of North Dakota, South Dakota, and Nebraska with its principal place of business office at Huron, S. Dak. Applicant is engaged in generating, transmitting, distributing and selling electric energy in the east central portion of South Dakota, where it furnishes electric service in 108 communities.

Applicant proposes to issue the bonds at competitive bidding pursuant to the Commission's regulations under the Federal Power Act. The bonds are to be issued under and secured by the lien of the Applicant's Indenture dated August 1, 1940, as amended and supplemented, and as to be further supplemented by an additional Supplemental Indenture. It is presently contemplated that the bonds will be dated in 1966 and will mature in 1996.

The proceeds from the bonds will be used to finance in part construction, completion, extension and improvement of the Applicant's facilities. The Applicant's 1966 construction expenditures are estimated at approximately \$5.3 million, of which approximately \$2,275,000 is for routine extensions and additions to its existing electric system in South Dakota, approximately \$350,000 for routine extensions and additions to its gas distribution system in South Dakota, approximately \$335,000 for routine extensions and additions to its gas distribution system in Nebraska, approximately \$1,675,000 for the rebuilding and construction of major electric transmission lines, and approximately \$565,000 for additions to or construction of major substations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before June 20, 1966, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C., 20426, petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The application is on file and available for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6137; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP66-379]

UNITED FUEL GAS CO.

Notice of Application

MAY 27, 1966.

Take notice that on May 18, 1966, United Fuel Gas Co. (Applicant), Post Office Box 1273, Charleston, W. Va., 25325, filed an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon certain natural gas transmission pipeline facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Applicant proposes to retire by transfer to its distribution plant approximately 0.8 mile of 14-inch pipeline and to abandon approximately 10.9 miles of 14-inch and 16-inch pipeline extending eastward from the town of Hurricane to the Kanawha River in Putnam County, W. Va.

Applicant states that all customers served by the portion of the pipeline to be transferred to Applicant's distribution plant will continue to be served as retail customers of Applicant's West Virginia distribution operations. All other customers previously served from that portion of Applicant's pipeline to be retired have been disconnected either by agreement or pursuant to authorization by the West Virginia Public Service Commission. Therefore, the proposed abandonment will not cause the termination of service to any of Applicant's customers.

Applicant states that the pipeline is old and deteriorated and no longer serves any transmission function.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (157.10) on or before June 24, 1966.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no protests or petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission

on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a protest or petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6138; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:45 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-3421]

CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.

Order Suspending Trading

MAY 31, 1966.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock, 10 cents par value of Continental Vending Machine Corp., and the 6 percent convertible subordinated debentures due September 1, 1976, otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period June 1, 1966, through June 10, 1966, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6147; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:46 a.m.]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Delegation of Authority No. 30, Sioux Falls, S. Dak., Region, Rev. 1, Amdt. 1]

SIoux FALLS REGIONAL OFFICE

Delegation of Authority To Conduct Program Activities

Pursuant to the authority delegated to the Regional Director by Delegation of Authority No. 30, Rocky Mountain Area, 30 F.R. 2741, as amended, 30 F.R. 8080 and 8426; Delegation of Authority 30 F.R. 4568, as revised, 30 F.R. 11409 is hereby amended to read as follows:

I. * * *

F. To Loan Specialists GS-9 and above assigned to all Financial Assistance Divi-

sion programs in all offices of this region. Final authority to approve the following actions concerning direct or participation loans:

1. Use of the cash surrender value of life insurance to pay the premium on the policy.
2. Release of dividends of life insurance or consent to application against premiums.
3. Minor modifications in the authorization.
4. Extension of disbursement period.
5. Extension of initial principal payments.
6. Adjustment of interest payment dates.
7. Release of hazard insurance checks not in excess of \$200 and endorse such checks on behalf of the agency where SBA is named as joint loss payee.

Effective date. June 1, 1966.

EDWIN JENISON,
Regional Director,
Sioux Falls Regional Office.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6148; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Wage and Hour Division

CERTIFICATES AUTHORIZING THE EMPLOYMENT OF LEARNERS AT SPECIAL MINIMUM RATES

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), and Administrative Order No. 579 (28 F.R. 11524) the firms listed in this notice have been issued special certificates authorizing the employment of learners at hourly wage rates lower than the minimum wage rates otherwise applicable under section 6 of the act. The effective and expiration dates, occupations, wage rates, number or proportion of learners and learning periods, for certificates issued under general learner regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9), and the principal product manufactured by the employer are as indicated below. Conditions provided in certificates issued under the supplemental industry regulations cited in the captions below are as established in those regulations.

Apparel Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.20 to 522.25, as amended).

The following learner certificates were issued authorizing the employment of 10 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates are indicated.

Glendale Manufacturing Corp., 175 Sweeten Creek Road, Asheville, N.C.; effective 5-9-66 to 5-8-67 (ladies' gowns and pajamas).

Landress-Smith Corp., Hoschton, Ga.; effective 5-18-66 to 5-17-67 (men's and boys' slacks).

Opp Textiles, Inc., Opp, Ala.; effective 5-18-66 to 5-17-67 (hunting and camping clothing, men's shirts).

Piedmont Shirt Co., Poinsett Highway, Greenville, S.C.; effective 5-14-66 to 5-13-67 (men's and boys' sport and dress shirts).

Prepmore Apparel, Inc., Russellville, Ala.; effective 5-26-66 to 5-25-67 (boys' sport and dress shirts).

Scranton Pants Manufacturing Co., 613 Wyoming Avenue, Scranton, Pa.; effective 5-23-66 to 5-22-67 (men's dress pants).

Spartans Industries, Inc., Spencer, Tenn.; effective 5-11-66 to 5-10-67 (ladies' and girls' capri pants and shorts).

J. M. Wood Manufacturing Co., Inc., 200 South Commerce, Waco, Tex.; effective 5-18-66 to 5-17-67 (men's and boys' pants and shirts).

The following learner certificates were issued for normal labor turnover purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

The Jacy Manufacturing Co., Wilburton, Pa.; effective 5-12-66 to 5-11-67; 10 learners. Learners may not be employed at special minimum wage rates in the production of women's skirts or suits (ladies' dresses).

McColl Manufacturing Co., McColl, S.C.; effective 5-9-66 to 5-8-67; 10 learners (ladies' dresses).

Nelson Manufacturing Co., Inc., Lovington, Va.; effective 5-9-66 to 5-8-67; 10 learners (children's playwear).

Pajama-Craft Manufacturing Co., Inc., Monarch Street, Littlestown, Pa.; effective 5-16-66 to 5-15-67; 10 learners (men's and boys' pajamas).

The following learner certificates were issued for plant expansion purposes. The effective and expiration dates and the number of learners authorized are indicated.

Form-O-Uth Brassiere Co., doing business as Marie Foundations Branch, 800 East Kingsmill, Pampa, Tex.; effective 5-9-66 to 11-8-66; 25 learners (brassieres and girdles).

Nelson Manufacturing Co., Inc., Lovington, Va.; effective 5-9-66 to 11-8-66; 30 learners (children's playwear).

Phil Campbell Manufacturing Co., Phil Campbell, Ala.; effective 5-13-66 to 11-12-66; 20 learners (boys' jeans).

Henry I. Siegel Co., Inc., Whiteville, Tenn.; effective 5-14-66 to 9-30-66; 30 learners (ladies' pants).

Reidbord Bros. Co., Lumber Street, Buckhannon, W. Va.; effective 5-13-66 to 11-12-66; 10 learners (men's and boys' trousers).

Southern Garment Co., Post Office Box 608, Robbins, N.C.; effective 5-9-66 to 11-8-66; 10 learners (women's dresses).

Tuskegee Mills, Tuskegee, Ala.; effective 5-13-66 to 10-19-66; 25 additional learners (children's pants, shirts, and blouses) (supplemental certificate).

Hosiery Industry Learner Regulations (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended, and 29 CFR 522.40 to 522.43, as amended).

W. Y. Shugart & Sons, Inc., Fort Payne, Ala.; effective 5-12-66 to 5-11-67; 5 percent of the total number of factory production workers for normal labor turnover purposes (children's and infants' hosiery).

Regulations Applicable to the Employment of Learners (29 CFR 522.1 to 522.9, as amended).

The following learner certificates were issued in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands to the companies hereinafter named. The effective and expiration dates, learner rates, occupations, learning periods, and the number of learners authorized to be employed, are indicated.

W.O. Tobacco Corp., Calle Ruiz Belvis, Apartado 576, Caguas, P.R.; effective 4-19-66 to 4-18-67; 25 learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of sorting, sizing, each for a learning period of 240 hours at the rate of 80 cents an hour (wrapper type tobacco).

Belair Time Corp., La Grande Princesse, Christiansted, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands, effective 4-12-66 to 4-11-67; 5 learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of watch assembly, for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of \$1.10 an hour for the first 240 hours and \$1.15 an hour for the remaining 240 hours (watches).

Quality Products Co., Inc., 78-29 Kronprindsens Gade, Post Office Box 2446, Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas, U.S. Virgin Islands, effective 4-25-66 to 7-5-66; 10 learners for normal labor turnover purposes in the occupation of watch assembly, for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of \$1.10 an hour for the first 240 hours and \$1.15 an hour for the remaining 240 hours (watches) (replacement certificate).

St. Thomas Textiles, Inc., Bldg. 23, Sub Base, St. Thomas, U.S. Virgin Islands, effective 4-6-66 to 10-5-66; 15 learners for plant expansion purposes in the occupations of: (1) Machine stitching, turning, each for a learning period of 480 hours at the rates of 95 cents an hour for the first 240 hours and \$1.10 an hour for the remaining 240 hours; and (2) die and clicker machine operating, for a period of 160 hours at the rate of 95 cents an hour (work gloves).

Each learner certificate has been issued upon the representations of the employer which, among other things, were that employment of learners at special minimum rates is necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, and that experienced workers for the learner occupations are not available. Any person aggrieved by the issuance of any of these certificates may seek a review or reconsideration thereof within 15 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the provisions of 29 CFR 522.9. The certificates may be annulled or withdrawn, as indicated therein, in the manner provided in 29 CFR Part 528.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 20th day of May 1966.

ROBERT G. GRONEWALD,
Authorized Representative
of the Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6146; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:46 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

JUNE 1, 1966.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 1.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 40511—Joint motor-rail rates—Southern Motor Carriers. Filed

by Southern Motor Carriers Rate Conference, agent (No. 151), for interested carriers. Rates on property moving on class and commodity rates over joint routes of applicant rail and motor carriers, between points in southern territory, on the one hand, and points in middlewest and southwestern territories, on the other.

Grounds for relief—Motortruck competition.

Tariffs—Supplements to Southern Motor Carriers Rate Conference, agent, tariffs MF-ICC 1361 and 1403.

FSA No. 40512—Substituted service—CMSTP&P for International Transport, Inc. Filed by A. R. Fowler, agent (No. 16), for interested carriers. Rates on property loaded in highway trailers and transported on railroad flatcars, between points in Idaho, Montana, and Washington, on the one hand, and points in middlewest territory, on the other, on traffic originating at or destined to such point or points beyond as described in the application.

Grounds for relief—Motortruck competition.

Tariff—A. R. Fowler, agent, tariff MF-ICC 378.

FSA No. 40513—Bananas and coconuts from Texas Ports. Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, agent (No. B-8858), for interested rail carriers. Rates on bananas and coconuts, in carloads, from Freeport, Galveston, Houston, and Texas City, Tex., to points in Arkansas, Kansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma.

Grounds for relief—Port equalization. Tariff—Supplement 10 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, agent, tariff ICC 4658.

FSA No. 40514—Class and commodity rates from and to Talley, Ala. Filed by O. W. South, Jr., agent (No. A4897), for interested rail carriers. Rates on property moving on class and commodity rates, between Talley, Ala., on the one hand, and points in the United States and Canada, on the other.

Grounds for relief—New station and grouping.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6158; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:47 a.m.]

[Notice 192]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

JUNE 1, 1966.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules in Ex Parte No. MC 67 (49 CFR Part 240) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL

REGISTER. One copy of such protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protest must certify that such service has been made. The protest must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six (6) copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined, at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 108207 (Sub-No. 193 TA), filed May 27, 1966. Applicant: FROZEN FOOD EXPRESS, a corporation, 318 Cadiz Street, Post Office Box 5888, Dallas, Tex., 75222. Applicant's representative: L. M. McLean (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods and fresh and cured meats, from Los Angeles, Calif., to Albuquerque, N. Mex., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Major Food Products, Inc., 1703 Potrero Street, South El Monte, Calif., 91733; Leo's Quality Foods, 1830 West Slauson Avenue, Los Angeles, Calif., 90047; Mr. Smith's Frozen Foods, Inc., 1653 West El Segundo Boulevard, Gardena, Calif.; John P. Harding Market Co., 3022 South Cochran Avenue, Los Angeles, Calif., 90016; the McCoy Meat Co., 3386 East Vernon Avenue, Los Angeles, Calif., 90058. Send protests to: E. K. Willis, Jr., District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 513 Thomas Building, 1314 Wood Street, Dallas, Tex., 75202.

No. MC 114848 (Sub-No. 31 TA), filed May 27, 1966. Applicant: WHARTON TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 1498 Channel Avenue, De Soto Station, Memphis, Tenn., 38106. Applicant's representative: James N. Clay, Jr., 340 Sterick Building, Memphis, Tenn., 38103. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Clay, in bulk, from Mayfield, Ky., to Cincinnati, Ohio, and its commercial zone, for 150 days. Supporting shipper: Missouri Portland Cement Co., 7751 Carondelet Avenue, St. Louis, Mo., 63105. Send protests to: W. W. Garland, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 390 Federal Office Building, 167 North Main Street, Memphis, Tenn., 38103.

No. MC 127777 (Sub-No. 4 TA), filed May 27, 1966. Applicant: MOBILE HOME EXPRESS, INC., 18503 Torrence Avenue, Suite No. 2, Lansing, Ill. Applicant's representative: Roland Des Ruisseaux (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Mobile homes, eleven feet, six inches (11'6") and over in width, in the initial movements by towaway or truckaway method, from Middlebury, Ind., to points in Illinois and points in Wisconsin, south of Routes No.

22 and No. 29 from Oconto, Wis., to Prescott, Wis., for 150 days. Supporting shippers: Mobile Homes Sales, 3333 11th Streets, Rockford, Ill.; Roscoe Goodbred, Shady Lane Mobile Home Sales, Earlville, Ill.; Willow Lake Estates, 161 Tollview Road, Elgin, Ill.; Colonial Mobile Homes, Inc., 14139 Western Avenue, Blue Island, Ill.; Mel-Kay Mobile Court, Route 113S, Coal City, Ill.; MacDonald Mobile Home Sales, 4503 Lake Street, Melrose Park, Ill.; Schult Mobile Homes Corp., Post Office Box 130, Elkhart, Ind. Send protests to: Charles J. Kudelka, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Room 1086, Interstate Commerce Commission, U.S. Courthouse and Federal Office Building, 219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill., 60604.

No. MC 128213 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed May 27, 1966. Applicant: T. LINDSAY, INC., 74 Illinois Avenue, Paterson, N.J., 07503. Applicant's representative: Charles J. Williams, 1060 Broad Street, Newark, N.J., 07102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Bicycles, trunks, and duffle bags, between Milford, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: New Jersey YMHA-YWHA Camps, 589 Central Avenue, East Orange, N.J., 07018. Send protests to: Joel Morrrows, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 1060 Broad Street, Newark, N.J., 07102.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6159; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:47 a.m.]

[Notice 1358]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

JUNE 1, 1966.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC-68763. By order of May 27, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Stanley L. Mayne, doing business as Nichols Motor Express, Watertown, N.Y., of the operating rights of Harry J. Woods, doing business as Nichols Motor Express, Cape Vincent, N.Y., in certificate No. MC-48091 and certificate of registration No. MC-48091 (Sub-No. 3), issued May 12, 1961, and March 25, 1964, respectively, authorizing the transportation, over regular routes, of general commodities, excluding household goods, commodities in bulk, and other specified commodities, between Watertown, N.Y., and Cape Vincent, N.Y., under the said certificate No. MC-48091, and the transportation, of general commodities, between the city of Watertown and the village of Cape Vincent (Jefferson County) and between the city of Watertown and the village of Sackets Harbor (Jefferson County), under certificate of registration No. MC-48091 (Sub-No. 3). Stephen A. McKay, 345 Washington Street, Watertown, N.Y., 13601, attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-68779. By order of May 27, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Levenson Transportation Corp., New York, N.Y., of certificate No. MC-124311, issued February 20, 1963, to Harold Levenson, doing business as H. Levenson Trucking Co., New York, N.Y., authorizing the transportation of: Cut piece-goods, and trimmings, over regular routes, from New York, N.Y., to Perth Amboy, N.J., serving all intermediate points, and men's, ladies', and children's garments, from Perth Amboy, N.J., to New York, N.Y., serving all intermediate points. Jerome G. Greenspan, 17 John Street, New York, N.Y., 10038, attorney for applicants.

No. MC-FC-68781. By order of May 27, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Drexel Chadwick, Anita, Iowa, of the operating rights set forth in certificate No. MC-6465, issued March 16, 1942, to Drexel Chadwick and J. H. Chadwick, a partnership, doing business as Chadwick Brothers, Anita, Iowa, authorizing the transportation of livestock between Anita, Iowa, and points and places within 15 miles thereof, on the one hand, and on the other, Omaha, Nebr., also, feed fencing materials, and building materials (other than structural steel or road building materials) from Omaha, Nebr., to Anita, Iowa, and points and places within 15 miles of Anita. A. R. Fowler, 2288 University Avenue, St. Paul, Minn., 55114, representative for applicants.

No. MC-FC-68786. By order of May 27, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to San Antonio Banana Co., a corporation, 1500 South Zarzamora, San Antonio, Tex., of the operating rights of W. J. Isom, Sr., and W. J. Isom, Jr., a partnership, doing business as San Antonio Banana Co., San Antonio, Tex., in certificate No. MC-118153, issued June 30, 1961, authorizing the transportation over irregular routes, of bananas, from New Orleans, La., to San Antonio, Tex., and from San Antonio, Tex., to Salt Lake City, Utah.

No. MC-FC-68790. By order of May 31, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Andrew George Philipp and Roland Andrew Philipp, a partnership, doing business as Andrew G. Philipp and Roland A. Philipp, 215 South Seventh Street, Osage, Iowa, 50461, of the operating rights of Richard E. Jahnel, 532 State Street, Osage, Iowa, 50461, in certificates Nos. MC-115660 and MC-115660 (Sub-No. 2), issued November 28, 1956, and April 24, 1959, respectively, authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of commercial fertilizer, feed and feed ingredients, in bulk, and livestock feed, in bulk, from and to specified points in Iowa, Illinois, and Minnesota, varying with the commodities transported.

[SEAL]

H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-6160; Filed, June 3, 1966;
8:48 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—JUNE

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during June.

5 CFR	Page	7 CFR—Continued	Page	21 CFR	Page
213-----	7733, 7734, 7959	PROPOSED RULES—Continued		120-----	7741
550-----	7881	1096-----	7831	22 CFR	
		1097-----	7831	41-----	7741
		1098-----	7911	42-----	7741
		1099-----	7758, 7831		
7 CFR		1101-----	7911	24 CFR	
28-----	7734	1102-----	7831	200-----	7743
701-----	7735, 7814	1103-----	7831	221-----	7743
728-----	7814	1104-----	7831		
845-----	7815	1106-----	7831	25 CFR	
893-----	7816	1108-----	7831	41-----	7744
908-----	7961	1120-----	7831	42-----	7745
910-----	7962	1125-----	7757	26 CFR	
911-----	7962	1126-----	7831	1-----	7789
917-----	7963	1127-----	7831		
918-----	7735	1128-----	7831	31 CFR	
1099-----	7963	1129-----	7831	202-----	7899
1421-----	7964	1130-----	7831	203-----	7899
1483-----	7817	1131-----	7757	500-----	7745, 7899
1486-----	7735	1132-----	7831		
PROPOSED RULES:		1133-----	7757, 7831	32 CFR	
51-----	7757	1134-----	7757	1-----	7807
905-----	7971	1136-----	7757	3-----	7807
1001-----	7911	1137-----	7757	4-----	7810
1002-----	7911	1138-----	7757	7-----	7811
1003-----	7911			8-----	7812
1004-----	7911	10 CFR		16-----	7814
1005-----	7911	36-----	7959	30-----	7814
1008-----	7911	40-----	7959	502-----	7966
1009-----	7911	14 CFR			
1011-----	7911	39-----	7735, 7881, 7882	32A CFR	
1012-----	7911	71-----	7736, 7827	OIA (Ch. X):	
1013-----	7829, 7911	73-----	7736, 7827, 7882	OI REG. 1-----	7745
1015-----	7911	75-----	7736, 7827	33 CFR	
1016-----	7911	97-----	7883, 7893	203-----	7827
1031-----	7831	PROPOSED RULES:		208-----	7751
1032-----	7831	71-----	7760-7762, 7836, 7975-7977		
1033-----	7911	73-----	7977	36 CFR	
1034-----	7911	75-----	7762	251-----	7899
1035-----	7911			261-----	7902
1036-----	7911	15 CFR			
1038-----	7831, 7971	230-----	7737, 7819, 7968	39 CFR	
1039-----	7831, 7972	PROPOSED RULES:		13-----	7752
1040-----	7911	9-----	7833	22-----	7752
1041-----	7911	16 CFR		25-----	7752
1043-----	7911	13-----	7960, 7961	43-----	7752
1044-----	7831	15-----	7737, 7806	41 CFR	
1045-----	7831	PROPOSED RULES:		4-1-----	7819
1046-----	7911	45-----	7757	4-6-----	7819
1047-----	7911			4-50-----	7902
1048-----	7911	17 CFR		8-1-----	7820
1049-----	7911	211-----	7821	8-2-----	7820
1051-----	7831	230-----	7738	101-15-----	7752
1061-----	7831	239-----	7738	42 CFR	
1062-----	7831	240-----	7740	57-----	7755
1063-----	7831	276-----	7821	76-----	7902
1064-----	7831	PROPOSED RULES:			
1065-----	7757	270-----	7913	43 CFR	
1066-----	7757			3120-----	7806
1067-----	7831	18 CFR		PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:	
1068-----	7757	101-----	7897	4023-----	7969
1069-----	7757	141-----	7897	4024-----	7969
1070-----	7831	201-----	7897	4025-----	7969
1071-----	7831	260-----	7897		
1073-----	7831	20 CFR		45 CFR	
1074-----	7831	602-----	7966	801-----	7755
1075-----	7757	PROPOSED RULES:		46 CFR	
1076-----	7757	405-----	7864	308-----	7970
1078-----	7831				
1079-----	7831				
1090-----	7911				
1094-----	7831				

47 CFR		Page
18	-----	7821
21	-----	7822
73	-----	7904
74	-----	7822
91	-----	7822
PROPOSED RULES:		
1	-----	7837
21	-----	7837
23	-----	7837
73	-----	7837, 7838
74	-----	7837
81	-----	7837
87	-----	7837
89	-----	7837
91	-----	7837
93	-----	7837
95	-----	7837
97	-----	7837
49 CFR		
95	-----	7806
PROPOSED RULES:		
170	-----	7841
193	-----	7911
50 CFR		
32	-----	7909
33	-----	7756, 7910, 7970

PUBLIC PAPERS OF THE PRESIDENTS OF THE UNITED STATES

Now available

Lyndon B. Johnson 1963-64

Book I (November 22, 1963 to June 30, 1964)

Price \$6.75

Book II (July 1, 1964 to December 31, 1964)

Price \$7.00

Contents

- Messages to the Congress
- Public speeches and letters
- The President's news conferences
- Radio and television reports to the American people
- Remarks to informal groups

Published by

Office of the Federal Register
National Archives and Records Service
General Services Administration

Order from

Superintendent of Documents
U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402



Prior volumes

Prior volumes covering most of the Truman administration and all of the Eisenhower and Kennedy years are available at comparable prices from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.